Functions and Their Basic Properties

Czesław Byliński Warsaw University Białystok

Summary. The definitions of the mode Function and the graph of a function are introduced. The graph of a function is defined to be identical with the function. The following concepts are also defined: the domain of a function, the range of a function, the identity function, the composition of functions, the 1-1 function, the inverse function, the restriction of a function, the image and the inverse image. Certain basic facts about functions and the notions defined in the article are proved.

MML Identifier: FUNCT 1.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html

The articles [1] and [2] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

Let *X* be a set. We say that *X* is function-like if and only if:

(Def. 1) For all
$$x$$
, y_1 , y_2 such that $\langle x, y_1 \rangle \in X$ and $\langle x, y_2 \rangle \in X$ holds $y_1 = y_2$.

Let us observe that there exists a set which is relation-like and function-like.

A function is a function-like relation-like set.

One can check that every set which is empty is also function-like.

We follow the rules: f, g, h denote functions and R, S denote binary relations.

Next we state the proposition

(2) Let F be a set. Suppose for every p such that $p \in F$ there exist x, y such that $\langle x, y \rangle = p$ and for all x, y_1 , y_2 such that $\langle x, y_1 \rangle \in F$ and $\langle x, y_2 \rangle \in F$ holds $y_1 = y_2$. Then F is a function.

The scheme GraphFunc deals with a set $\mathcal A$ and a binary predicate $\mathcal P$, and states that:

There exists f such that for all x, y holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in f$ iff $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$ provided the parameters meet the following requirement:

• For all x, y_1 , y_2 such that $\mathcal{P}[x,y_1]$ and $\mathcal{P}[x,y_2]$ holds $y_1 = y_2$. Let us consider f, x. The functor f(x) yielding a set is defined as follows:

(Def. 4)²(i)
$$\langle x, f(x) \rangle \in f \text{ if } x \in \text{dom } f$$
,

(ii) $f(x) = \emptyset$, otherwise.

One can prove the following propositions:

$$(8)^3 \quad \langle x, y \rangle \in f \text{ iff } x \in \text{dom } f \text{ and } y = f(x).$$

¹ The proposition (1) has been removed.

² The definitions (Def. 2) and (Def. 3) have been removed.

³ The propositions (3)–(7) have been removed.

(9) If dom f = dom g and for every x such that $x \in \text{dom } f$ holds f(x) = g(x), then f = g.

Let us consider f. Then rng f can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 5) For every y holds $y \in \operatorname{rng} f$ iff there exists x such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and y = f(x).

We now state two propositions:

- $(12)^4$ If $x \in \text{dom } f$, then $f(x) \in \text{rng } f$.
- $(14)^5$ If dom $f = \{x\}$, then rng $f = \{f(x)\}$.

Now we present two schemes. The scheme FuncEx deals with a set \mathcal{A} and a binary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

There exists f such that dom $f = \mathcal{A}$ and for every x such that $x \in \mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[x, f(x)]$ provided the parameters meet the following requirements:

- For all x, y_1, y_2 such that $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{P}[x, y_1]$ and $\mathcal{P}[x, y_2]$ holds $y_1 = y_2$, and
- For every x such that $x \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists y such that $\mathcal{P}[x,y]$.

The scheme Lambda deals with a set \mathcal{A} and a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a set, and states that:

There exists a function f such that dom $f = \mathcal{A}$ and for every x such that $x \in \mathcal{A}$ holds $f(x) = \mathcal{F}(x)$

for all values of the parameters.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (15) If $X \neq \emptyset$, then for every y there exists f such that dom f = X and rng $f = \{y\}$.
- (16) If for all f, g such that dom f = X and dom g = X holds f = g, then $X = \emptyset$.
- (17) If dom f = dom g and rng $f = \{y\}$ and rng $g = \{y\}$, then f = g.
- (18) If $Y \neq \emptyset$ or $X = \emptyset$, then there exists f such that X = dom f and rng $f \subseteq Y$.
- (19) If for every y such that $y \in Y$ there exists x such that $x \in \text{dom } f$ and y = f(x), then $Y \subseteq \text{rng } f$.

Let us consider f, g. We introduce $g \cdot f$ as a synonym of $f \cdot g$.

Let us consider f, g. One can check that $g \cdot f$ is function-like.

We now state several propositions:

- (20) Let given h. Suppose for every x holds $x \in \text{dom } h$ iff $x \in \text{dom } f$ and $f(x) \in \text{dom } g$ and for every x such that $x \in \text{dom } h$ holds h(x) = g(f(x)). Then $h = g \cdot f$.
- (21) $x \in \text{dom}(g \cdot f)$ iff $x \in \text{dom } f$ and $f(x) \in \text{dom } g$.
- (22) If $x \in \text{dom}(g \cdot f)$, then $(g \cdot f)(x) = g(f(x))$.
- (23) If $x \in \text{dom } f$, then $(g \cdot f)(x) = g(f(x))$.
- $(25)^6$ If $z \in \operatorname{rng}(g \cdot f)$, then $z \in \operatorname{rng} g$.
- $(27)^7$ If $dom(g \cdot f) = dom f$, then rng $f \subseteq dom g$.
- (33)⁸ If rng $f \subseteq Y$ and for all g, h such that dom g = Y and dom h = Y and $g \cdot f = h \cdot f$ holds g = h, then $Y = \operatorname{rng} f$.

Let us consider X. One can check that id_X is function-like.

Next we state several propositions:

⁴ The propositions (10) and (11) have been removed.

⁵ The proposition (13) has been removed.

⁶ The proposition (24) has been removed.

⁷ The proposition (26) has been removed.

⁸ The propositions (28)–(32) have been removed.

- (34) $f = id_X$ iff dom f = X and for every x such that $x \in X$ holds f(x) = x.
- (35) If $x \in X$, then $id_X(x) = x$.
- $(37)^9 \quad \operatorname{dom}(f \cdot \operatorname{id}_X) = \operatorname{dom} f \cap X.$
- (38) If $x \in \text{dom } f \cap X$, then $f(x) = (f \cdot \text{id}_X)(x)$.
- $(40)^{10}$ $x \in \text{dom}(\text{id}_Y \cdot f) \text{ iff } x \in \text{dom } f \text{ and } f(x) \in Y.$
- $(42)^{11}$ $f \cdot id_{\text{dom } f} = f$ and $id_{\text{rng } f} \cdot f = f$.
- $(43) \quad \mathrm{id}_X \cdot \mathrm{id}_Y = \mathrm{id}_{X \cap Y}.$
- (44) If rng f = dom g and $g \cdot f = f$, then $g = \text{id}_{\text{dom } g}$.

Let us consider f. We say that f is one-to-one if and only if:

(Def. 8)¹² For all x_1 , x_2 such that $x_1 \in \text{dom } f$ and $x_2 \in \text{dom } f$ and $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ holds $x_1 = x_2$.

Next we state several propositions:

- $(46)^{13}$ If f is one-to-one and g is one-to-one, then $g \cdot f$ is one-to-one.
- (47) If $g \cdot f$ is one-to-one and rng $f \subseteq \text{dom } g$, then f is one-to-one.
- (48) If $g \cdot f$ is one-to-one and rng f = dom g, then f is one-to-one and g is one-to-one.
- (49) f is one-to-one iff for all g, h such that $\operatorname{rng} g \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$ and $\operatorname{rng} h \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$ and $\operatorname{dom} g = \operatorname{dom} h$ and $f \cdot g = f \cdot h$ holds g = h.
- (50) If dom f = X and dom g = X and rng $g \subseteq X$ and f is one-to-one and $f \cdot g = f$, then $g = id_X$.
- (51) If $\operatorname{rng}(g \cdot f) = \operatorname{rng} g$ and g is one-to-one, then $\operatorname{dom} g \subseteq \operatorname{rng} f$.
- (52) id_X is one-to-one.
- (53) If there exists g such that $g \cdot f = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom } f}$, then f is one-to-one.

One can verify that there exists a function which is empty.

Let us observe that every function which is empty is also one-to-one.

One can verify that there exists a function which is one-to-one.

Let f be an one-to-one function. Observe that f^{\sim} is function-like.

Let us consider f. Let us assume that f is one-to-one. The functor f^{-1} yields a function and is defined by:

(Def. 9)
$$f^{-1} = f^{\sim}$$
.

The following propositions are true:

- (54) Suppose f is one-to-one. Let g be a function. Then $g = f^{-1}$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (i) dom g = rng f, and
- (ii) for all y, x holds $y \in \operatorname{rng} f$ and x = g(y) iff $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and y = f(x).
- (55) If f is one-to-one, then rng $f = dom(f^{-1})$ and $dom f = rng(f^{-1})$.
- (56) If f is one-to-one and $x \in \text{dom } f$, then $x = f^{-1}(f(x))$ and $x = (f^{-1} \cdot f)(x)$.

⁹ The proposition (36) has been removed.

¹⁰ The proposition (39) has been removed.

¹¹ The proposition (41) has been removed.

¹² The definitions (Def. 6) and (Def. 7) have been removed.

¹³ The proposition (45) has been removed.

- (57) If f is one-to-one and $y \in \operatorname{rng} f$, then $y = f(f^{-1}(y))$ and $y = (f \cdot f^{-1})(y)$.
- (58) If f is one-to-one, then $dom(f^{-1} \cdot f) = dom f$ and $rng(f^{-1} \cdot f) = dom f$.
- (59) If f is one-to-one, then $dom(f \cdot f^{-1}) = rng f$ and $rng(f \cdot f^{-1}) = rng f$.
- (60) Suppose f is one-to-one and $\operatorname{dom} f = \operatorname{rng} g$ and $\operatorname{rng} f = \operatorname{dom} g$ and for all x, y such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $y \in \operatorname{dom} g$ holds f(x) = y iff g(y) = x. Then $g = f^{-1}$.
- (61) If f is one-to-one, then $f^{-1} \cdot f = id_{\text{dom } f}$ and $f \cdot f^{-1} = id_{\text{rng } f}$.
- (62) If f is one-to-one, then f^{-1} is one-to-one.
- (63) If f is one-to-one and rng f = dom g and $g \cdot f = \text{id}_{\text{dom } f}$, then $g = f^{-1}$.
- (64) If f is one-to-one and rng g = dom f and $f \cdot g = \text{id}_{\text{rng } f}$, then $g = f^{-1}$.
- (65) If f is one-to-one, then $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$.
- (66) If f is one-to-one and g is one-to-one, then $(g \cdot f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}$.
- (67) $(id_X)^{-1} = id_X$.

Let us consider f, X. One can verify that $f \upharpoonright X$ is function-like. One can prove the following propositions:

- (68) $g = f \upharpoonright X$ iff dom $g = \text{dom } f \cap X$ and for every x such that $x \in \text{dom } g$ holds g(x) = f(x).
- $(70)^{14} \quad \text{If } x \in \text{dom}(f \upharpoonright X), \text{ then } (f \upharpoonright X)(x) = f(x).$
- (71) If $x \in \text{dom } f \cap X$, then $(f \upharpoonright X)(x) = f(x)$.
- (72) If $x \in X$, then $(f \upharpoonright X)(x) = f(x)$.
- (73) If $x \in \text{dom } f$ and $x \in X$, then $f(x) \in \text{rng}(f \upharpoonright X)$.
- $(76)^{15}$ dom $(f \upharpoonright X) \subseteq \text{dom } f$ and rng $(f \upharpoonright X) \subseteq \text{rng } f$.
- $(82)^{16}$ If $X \subseteq Y$, then $f \upharpoonright X \upharpoonright Y = f \upharpoonright X$ and $f \upharpoonright Y \upharpoonright X = f \upharpoonright X$.
- $(84)^{17}$ If f is one-to-one, then $f \mid X$ is one-to-one.

Let us consider Y, f. Observe that $Y \upharpoonright f$ is function-like. One can prove the following propositions:

- (85) $g = Y \upharpoonright f$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (i) for every x holds $x \in \text{dom } g$ iff $x \in \text{dom } f$ and $f(x) \in Y$, and
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in \text{dom } g$ holds g(x) = f(x).
- (86) $x \in \text{dom}(Y \upharpoonright f) \text{ iff } x \in \text{dom } f \text{ and } f(x) \in Y.$
- (87) If $x \in \text{dom}(Y \upharpoonright f)$, then $(Y \upharpoonright f)(x) = f(x)$.
- $(89)^{18}$ dom $(Y \upharpoonright f) \subseteq \text{dom } f \text{ and } \text{rng}(Y \upharpoonright f) \subseteq \text{rng } f$.
- $(97)^{19} \quad \text{If } X \subseteq Y \text{, then } Y \upharpoonright (X \upharpoonright f) = X \upharpoonright f \text{ and } X \upharpoonright (Y \upharpoonright f) = X \upharpoonright f.$

¹⁴ The proposition (69) has been removed.

¹⁵ The propositions (74) and (75) have been removed.

¹⁶ The propositions (77)–(81) have been removed.

¹⁷ The proposition (83) has been removed.

¹⁸ The proposition (88) has been removed.

¹⁹ The propositions (90)–(96) have been removed.

 $(99)^{20}$ If f is one-to-one, then $Y \upharpoonright f$ is one-to-one.

Let us consider f, X. Then $f^{\circ}X$ can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 12)²¹ For every y holds $y \in f^{\circ}X$ iff there exists x such that $x \in \text{dom } f$ and $x \in X$ and y = f(x).

One can prove the following propositions:

- $(117)^{22}$ If $x \in \text{dom } f$, then $f^{\circ}\{x\} = \{f(x)\}.$
- (118) If $x_1 \in \text{dom } f$ and $x_2 \in \text{dom } f$, then $f^{\circ}\{x_1, x_2\} = \{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}.$
- $(120)^{23}$ $(Y \upharpoonright f)^{\circ} X \subseteq f^{\circ} X$.
- (121) If f is one-to-one, then $f^{\circ}(X_1 \cap X_2) = f^{\circ}X_1 \cap f^{\circ}X_2$.
- (122) If for all X_1, X_2 holds $f^{\circ}(X_1 \cap X_2) = f^{\circ}X_1 \cap f^{\circ}X_2$, then f is one-to-one.
- (123) If f is one-to-one, then $f^{\circ}(X_1 \setminus X_2) = f^{\circ}X_1 \setminus f^{\circ}X_2$.
- (124) If for all X_1, X_2 holds $f^{\circ}(X_1 \setminus X_2) = f^{\circ}X_1 \setminus f^{\circ}X_2$, then f is one-to-one.
- (125) If *X* misses *Y* and *f* is one-to-one, then $f^{\circ}X$ misses $f^{\circ}Y$.
- $(126) \quad (Y \upharpoonright f)^{\circ} X = Y \cap f^{\circ} X.$

Let us consider f, Y. Then $f^{-1}(Y)$ can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 13) For every x holds $x \in f^{-1}(Y)$ iff $x \in \text{dom } f$ and $f(x) \in Y$.

We now state a number of propositions:

$$(137)^{24} \quad f^{-1}(Y_1 \cap Y_2) = f^{-1}(Y_1) \cap f^{-1}(Y_2).$$

(138)
$$f^{-1}(Y_1 \setminus Y_2) = f^{-1}(Y_1) \setminus f^{-1}(Y_2).$$

(139)
$$(R \upharpoonright X)^{-1}(Y) = X \cap R^{-1}(Y)$$
.

$$(142)^{25}$$
 $y \in \operatorname{rng} R \text{ iff } R^{-1}(\{y\}) \neq \emptyset.$

- (143) If for every y such that $y \in Y$ holds $R^{-1}(\{y\}) \neq \emptyset$, then $Y \subseteq \operatorname{rng} R$.
- (144) For every y such that $y \in \operatorname{rng} f$ there exists x such that $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = \{x\}$ iff f is one-to-one.
- $(145) \quad f^{\circ}f^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y.$
- (146) If $X \subseteq \text{dom } R$, then $X \subseteq R^{-1}(R^{\circ}X)$.
- (147) If $Y \subseteq \operatorname{rng} f$, then $f^{\circ} f^{-1}(Y) = Y$.
- (148) $f^{\circ}f^{-1}(Y) = Y \cap f^{\circ} \text{dom } f$.
- $(149) \quad f^{\circ}(X \cap f^{-1}(Y)) \subseteq f^{\circ}X \cap Y.$
- (150) $f^{\circ}(X \cap f^{-1}(Y)) = f^{\circ}X \cap Y.$
- (151) $X \cap R^{-1}(Y) \subseteq R^{-1}(R^{\circ}X \cap Y)$.
- (152) If f is one-to-one, then $f^{-1}(f^{\circ}X) \subseteq X$.

²⁰ The proposition (98) has been removed.

²¹ The definitions (Def. 10) and (Def. 11) have been removed.

²² The propositions (100)–(116) have been removed.

²³ The proposition (119) has been removed.

²⁴ The propositions (127)–(136) have been removed.

²⁵ The propositions (140) and (141) have been removed.

- (153) If for every *X* holds $f^{-1}(f^{\circ}X) \subseteq X$, then *f* is one-to-one.
- (154) If f is one-to-one, then $f^{\circ}X = (f^{-1})^{-1}(X)$.
- (155) If f is one-to-one, then $f^{-1}(Y) = (f^{-1})^{\circ}Y$.
- (156) If $Y = \operatorname{rng} f$ and $\operatorname{dom} g = Y$ and $\operatorname{dom} h = Y$ and $g \cdot f = h \cdot f$, then g = h.
- (157) If $f^{\circ}X_1 \subseteq f^{\circ}X_2$ and $X_1 \subseteq \text{dom } f$ and f is one-to-one, then $X_1 \subseteq X_2$.
- (158) If $f^{-1}(Y_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(Y_2)$ and $Y_1 \subseteq \operatorname{rng} f$, then $Y_1 \subseteq Y_2$.
- (159) f is one-to-one iff for every y there exists x such that $f^{-1}(\{y\}) \subseteq \{x\}$.
- (160) If $\operatorname{rng} R \subseteq \operatorname{dom} S$, then $R^{-1}(X) \subseteq (R \cdot S)^{-1}(S^{\circ}X)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- [2] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

Received March 3, 1989

Published January 2, 2004