

The Jónsson Theorem

Jarosław Gryko
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: LATTICE5.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/lattice5.html>

The articles [23], [22], [14], [27], [28], [30], [29], [11], [12], [5], [25], [2], [18], [3], [4], [1], [24], [21], [13], [16], [26], [19], [17], [9], [15], [31], [6], [10], [7], [8], [32], and [20] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

The scheme *RecChoice* deals with a set \mathcal{A} and a ternary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

There exists a function f such that $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{N}$ and $f(0) \in \mathcal{A}$ and for every element n of \mathbb{N} holds $\mathcal{P}[n, f(n), f(n+1)]$

provided the parameters satisfy the following condition:

- For every natural number n and for every set x there exists a set y such that $\mathcal{P}[n, x, y]$.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (1) For every function f and for every function yielding function F such that $f = \bigcup \text{rng } F$ holds $\text{dom } f = \bigcup \text{rng}(\text{dom}_\kappa F(\kappa))$.
- (2) For all non empty sets A, B holds $[\bigcup A, \bigcup B] = \bigcup\{[a, b]; a \text{ ranges over elements of } A, b \text{ ranges over elements of } B: a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$.
- (3) For every non empty set A such that A is \subseteq -linear holds $[\bigcup A, \bigcup A] = \bigcup\{[a, a]; a \text{ ranges over elements of } A: a \in A\}$.

2. AN EQUIVALENCE LATTICE OF A SET

In the sequel X is a non empty set.

Let A be a set. The functor $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$ yields a poset and is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) $\text{EqRelPoset}(A) = \text{Poset}(\text{EqRelLatt}(A))$.

Let A be a set. Observe that $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$ has g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s.

We now state several propositions:

- (4) For all sets A, x holds $x \in$ the carrier of $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$ iff x is an equivalence relation of A .
- (5) For every set A and for all elements x, y of $\text{EqRelLatt}(A)$ holds $x \sqsubseteq y$ iff $x \subseteq y$.
- (6) For every set A and for all elements a, b of $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$ holds $a \leq b$ iff $a \subseteq b$.
- (7) For every lattice L and for all elements a, b of $\text{Poset}(L)$ holds $a \sqcap b = \cdot a \sqcap \cdot b$.

- (8) For every set A and for all elements a, b of $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$ holds $a \sqcap b = a \cap b$.
- (9) For every lattice L and for all elements a, b of $\text{Poset}(L)$ holds $a \sqcup b = \cdot a \sqcup \cdot b$.
- (10) Let A be a set, a, b be elements of $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$, and E_1, E_2 be equivalence relations of A . If $a = E_1$ and $b = E_2$, then $a \sqcup b = E_1 \sqcup E_2$.

Let L be a non empty relational structure. Let us observe that L is complete if and only if the condition (Def. 2) is satisfied.

(Def. 2) Let X be a subset of L . Then there exists an element a of L such that $a \leq X$ and for every element b of L such that $b \leq X$ holds $b \leq a$.

Let A be a set. Observe that $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$ is complete.

3. A TYPE OF A SUBLATTICE OF EQUIVALENCE LATTICE OF A SET

Let L_1, L_2 be lattices. Note that there exists a map from L_1 into L_2 which is meet-preserving and join-preserving.

Let L_1, L_2 be lattices. A homomorphism from L_1 to L_2 is a meet-preserving join-preserving map from L_1 into L_2 .

Let L be a lattice. Observe that there exists a relational substructure of L which is meet-inheriting, join-inheriting, and strict.

Let L_1, L_2 be lattices and let f be a homomorphism from L_1 to L_2 . Then $\text{Im } f$ is a strict full sublattice of L_2 .

We adopt the following convention: e, e_1, e_2 denote equivalence relations of X and x, y denote sets.

Let us consider X , let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of X , let us consider x, y , and let R_1, R_2 be binary relations. We say that x and y are joint by f, R_1 and R_2 if and only if the conditions (Def. 3) are satisfied.

- (Def. 3)(i) $f(1) = x$,
- (ii) $f(\text{len } f) = y$, and
- (iii) for every natural number i such that $1 \leq i$ and $i < \text{len } f$ holds if i is odd, then $\langle f(i), f(i+1) \rangle \in R_1$ and if i is even, then $\langle f(i), f(i+1) \rangle \in R_2$.

Next we state two propositions:

(12)¹ Let x be a set, o be a natural number, R_1, R_2 be binary relations, and f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of X . Suppose R_1 is reflexive in X and R_2 is reflexive in X and $f = o \mapsto x$. Then x and x are joint by f, R_1 and R_2 .

(14)² Let x, y be sets, R_1, R_2 be binary relations, and n, m be natural numbers. Suppose that

- (i) $n \leq m$,
- (ii) R_1 is reflexive in X ,
- (iii) R_2 is reflexive in X , and
- (iv) there exists a non empty finite sequence f of elements of X such that $\text{len } f = n$ and x and y are joint by f, R_1 and R_2 .

Then there exists a non empty finite sequence h of elements of X such that $\text{len } h = m$ and x and y are joint by h, R_1 and R_2 .

Let us consider X and let Y be a sublattice of $\text{EqRelPoset}(X)$. Let us assume that there exists e such that $e \in$ the carrier of Y $e \neq \text{id}_X$. And let us assume that there exists a natural number o such that for all e_1, e_2, x, y such that $e_1 \in$ the carrier of Y and $e_2 \in$ the carrier of Y and $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$ there exists a non empty finite sequence F of elements of X such that $\text{len } F = o$ and x and y are joint by F, e_1 and e_2 . The type of Y is a natural number and is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

¹ The proposition (11) has been removed.

² The proposition (13) has been removed.

(Def. 4)(i) For all e_1, e_2, x, y such that $e_1 \in$ the carrier of Y and $e_2 \in$ the carrier of Y and $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$ there exists a non empty finite sequence F of elements of X such that $\text{len} F = (\text{the type of } Y) + 2$ and x and y are joint by F, e_1 and e_2 , and

(ii) there exist e_1, e_2, x, y such that $e_1 \in$ the carrier of Y and $e_2 \in$ the carrier of Y and $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$ and it is not true that there exists a non empty finite sequence F of elements of X such that $\text{len} F = (\text{the type of } Y) + 1$ and x and y are joint by F, e_1 and e_2 .

One can prove the following proposition

(15) Let Y be a sublattice of $\text{EqRelPoset}(X)$ and n be a natural number. Suppose that

(i) there exists e such that $e \in$ the carrier of Y and $e \neq \text{id}_X$, and

(ii) for all e_1, e_2, x, y such that $e_1 \in$ the carrier of Y and $e_2 \in$ the carrier of Y and $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$ there exists a non empty finite sequence F of elements of X such that $\text{len} F = n + 2$ and x and y are joint by F, e_1 and e_2 .

Then the type of $Y \leq n$.

4. A MEET-REPRESENTATION OF A LATTICE

In the sequel A is a non empty set and L is a lower-bounded lattice.

Let us consider A, L . A bifunction from A into L is a function from $[A, A]$ into the carrier of L .

Let us consider A, L , let f be a bifunction from A into L , and let x, y be elements of A . Then $f(x, y)$ is an element of L .

Let us consider A, L and let f be a bifunction from A into L . We say that f is symmetric if and only if:

(Def. 6)³ For all elements x, y of A holds $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$.

We say that f is zeroed if and only if:

(Def. 7) For every element x of A holds $f(x, x) = \perp_L$.

We say that f satisfies triangle inequality if and only if:

(Def. 8) For all elements x, y, z of A holds $f(x, y) \sqcup f(y, z) \geq f(x, z)$.

Let us consider A, L . One can check that there exists a bifunction from A into L which is symmetric and zeroed and satisfies triangle inequality.

Let us consider A, L . A distance function of A, L is a symmetric zeroed bifunction from A into L satisfying triangle inequality.

Let us consider A, L and let d be a distance function of A, L . The functor $\alpha(d)$ yields a map from L into $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$ and is defined by the condition (Def. 9).

(Def. 9) Let e be an element of L . Then there exists an equivalence relation E of A such that $E = (\alpha(d))(e)$ and for all elements x, y of A holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in E$ iff $d(x, y) \leq e$.

We now state two propositions:

(16) For every distance function d of A, L holds $\alpha(d)$ is meet-preserving.

(17) For every distance function d of A, L such that d is onto holds $\alpha(d)$ is one-to-one.

³ The definition (Def. 5) has been removed.

5. THE JÓNSSON THEOREM

Let A be a set. The functor A^* is defined by:

(Def. 10) $A^* = A \cup \{\{A\}, \{\{A\}\}, \{\{\{A\}\}\}\}$.

Let A be a set. One can verify that A^* is non empty.

Let us consider A, L , let d be a bifunction from A into L , and let q be an element of $[\cdot A, A$, the carrier of L , the carrier of L]. The functor d_q^* yields a bifunction from A^* into L and is defined by the conditions (Def. 11).

(Def. 11) For all elements u, v of A holds $d_q^*(u, v) = d(u, v)$ and $d_q^*({A}, {A}) = \perp_L$ and $d_q^*({\{A\}}, {\{A\}}) = \perp_L$ and $d_q^*({\{\{A\}\}}, {\{\{A\}\}}) = \perp_L$ and $d_q^*({\{\{A\}\}}, {\{\{\{A\}\}\}}) = q_3$ and $d_q^*({\{\{\{A\}\}\}}, {\{A\}}) = q_3$ and $d_q^*({A}, {\{A\}}) = q_4$ and $d_q^*({\{A\}}, {A}) = q_4$ and $d_q^*({A}, {\{\{A\}\}}) = q_3 \sqcup q_4$ and $d_q^*({\{\{A\}\}}, {A}) = q_3 \sqcup q_4$ and for every element u of A holds $d_q^*(u, {A}) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3$ and $d_q^*({A}, u) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3$ and $d_q^*(u, {\{A\}}) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3 \sqcup q_4$ and $d_q^*({\{A\}}, u) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3 \sqcup q_4$ and $d_q^*(u, {\{\{A\}\}}) = d(u, q_2) \sqcup q_4$ and $d_q^*({\{\{A\}\}}, u) = d(u, q_2) \sqcup q_4$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (18) Let d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is zeroed. Let q be an element of $[\cdot A, A$, the carrier of L , the carrier of L]. Then d_q^* is zeroed.
- (19) Let d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is symmetric. Let q be an element of $[\cdot A, A$, the carrier of L , the carrier of L]. Then d_q^* is symmetric.
- (20) Let d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is symmetric and satisfies triangle inequality. Let q be an element of $[\cdot A, A$, the carrier of L , the carrier of L]. If $d(q_1, q_2) \leq q_3 \sqcup q_4$, then d_q^* satisfies triangle inequality.
- (21) For every set A holds $A \subseteq A^*$.
- (22) Let d be a bifunction from A into L and q be an element of $[\cdot A, A$, the carrier of L , the carrier of L]. Then $d \subseteq d_q^*$.

Let us consider A, L and let d be a bifunction from A into L . The functor $\text{DistEsti}(d)$ yielding a cardinal number is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) $\text{DistEsti}(d) \approx \{\langle x, y, a, b \rangle; x \text{ ranges over elements of } A, y \text{ ranges over elements of } A, a \text{ ranges over elements of } L, b \text{ ranges over elements of } L: d(x, y) \leq a \sqcup b\}$.

Next we state the proposition

- (23) For every distance function d of A, L holds $\text{DistEsti}(d) \neq \emptyset$.

In the sequel T is a transfinite sequence and O, O_1, O_2 are ordinal numbers.

Let us consider A and let us consider O . The functor $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O)$ is defined by the condition (Def. 13).

(Def. 13) There exists a transfinite sequence L_0 such that

- (i) $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O) = \text{last } L_0$,
- (ii) $\text{dom } L_0 = \text{succ } O$,
- (iii) $L_0(\emptyset) = A$,
- (iv) for every ordinal number C such that $\text{succ } C \in \text{succ } O$ holds $L_0(\text{succ } C) = L_0(C)^*$, and
- (v) for every ordinal number C such that $C \in \text{succ } O$ and $C \neq \emptyset$ and C is a limit ordinal number holds $L_0(C) = \bigcup \text{rng}(L_0 \upharpoonright C)$.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (24) $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, \emptyset) = A$.
- (25) $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, \text{succ } O) = (\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O))^*$.
- (26) Suppose $O \neq \emptyset$ and O is a limit ordinal number and $\text{dom } T = O$ and for every ordinal number O_1 such that $O_1 \in O$ holds $T(O_1) = \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O_1)$. Then $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O) = \bigcup \text{rng } T$.

Let us consider A and let us consider O . Observe that $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O)$ is non empty. One can prove the following proposition

- (27) $A \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O)$.

Let us consider A, L and let d be a bifunction from A into L . A transfinite sequence of elements of $[A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$ is said to be a sequence of quadruples of d if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 14).

- (Def. 14)(i) $\text{dom } d$ is a cardinal number,
(ii) d is one-to-one, and
(iii) $\text{rng } d = \{\langle x, y, a, b \rangle; x \text{ ranges over elements of } A, y \text{ ranges over elements of } A, a \text{ ranges over elements of } L, b \text{ ranges over elements of } L: d(x, y) \leq a \sqcup b\}$.

Let us consider A, L , let d be a bifunction from A into L , let q be a sequence of quadruples of d , and let us consider O . Let us assume that $O \in \text{dom } q$. The functor $\text{Quadr}(q, O)$ yielding an element of $[\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O), \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O), \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$ is defined by:

- (Def. 15) $\text{Quadr}(q, O) = q(O)$.

We now state the proposition

- (28) Let d be a bifunction from A into L and q be a sequence of quadruples of d . Then $O \in \text{DistEsti}(d)$ if and only if $O \in \text{dom } q$.

Let us consider A, L and let z be a set. Let us assume that z is a bifunction from A into L . The functor $\text{BiFun}(z, A, L)$ yielding a bifunction from A into L is defined by:

- (Def. 16) $\text{BiFun}(z, A, L) = z$.

Let us consider A, L , let d be a bifunction from A into L , let q be a sequence of quadruples of d , and let us consider O . The functor $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$ is defined by the condition (Def. 17).

- (Def. 17) There exists a transfinite sequence L_0 such that
(i) $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O) = \text{last } L_0$,
(ii) $\text{dom } L_0 = \text{succ } O$,
(iii) $L_0(\emptyset) = d$,
(iv) for every ordinal number C such that $\text{succ } C \in \text{succ } O$ holds $L_0(\text{succ } C) = (\text{BiFun}(L_0(C), \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, C), L))^*_{\text{Quadr}(q, C)}$, and
(v) for every ordinal number C such that $C \in \text{succ } O$ and $C \neq \emptyset$ and C is a limit ordinal number holds $L_0(C) = \bigcup \text{rng}(L_0 \upharpoonright C)$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (29) For every bifunction d from A into L and for every sequence q of quadruples of d holds $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, \emptyset) = d$.
- (30) For every bifunction d from A into L and for every sequence q of quadruples of d holds $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, \text{succ } O) = (\text{BiFun}(\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O), \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O), L))^*_{\text{Quadr}(q, O)}$.

- (31) Let d be a bifunction from A into L and q be a sequence of quadruples of d . Suppose $O \neq \emptyset$ and O is a limit ordinal number and $\text{dom} T = O$ and for every ordinal number O_1 such that $O_1 \in O$ holds $T(O_1) = \text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O_1)$. Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O) = \bigcup \text{rng} T$.
- (32) If $O_1 \subseteq O_2$, then $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O_1) \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O_2)$.
- (33) Let d be a bifunction from A into L and q be a sequence of quadruples of d . Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$ is a bifunction from $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O)$ into L .

Let us consider A, L , let d be a bifunction from A into L , let q be a sequence of quadruples of d , and let us consider O . Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$ is a bifunction from $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O)$ into L .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (34) For every bifunction d from A into L and for every sequence q of quadruples of d holds $d \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$.
- (35) For every bifunction d from A into L and for every sequence q of quadruples of d such that $O_1 \subseteq O_2$ holds $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O_1) \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O_2)$.
- (36) Let d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is zeroed. Let q be a sequence of quadruples of d . Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$ is zeroed.
- (37) Let d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is symmetric. Let q be a sequence of quadruples of d . Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$ is symmetric.
- (38) Let d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is symmetric and satisfies triangle inequality. Let q be a sequence of quadruples of d . If $O \subseteq \text{DistEsti}(d)$, then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$ satisfies triangle inequality.
- (39) Let d be a distance function of A, L and q be a sequence of quadruples of d . If $O \subseteq \text{DistEsti}(d)$, then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$ is a distance function of $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O), L$.

Let us consider A, L and let d be a bifunction from A into L . The functor $\text{NextSet}(d)$ is defined by:

(Def. 18) $\text{NextSet}(d) = \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, \text{DistEsti}(d))$.

Let us consider A, L and let d be a bifunction from A into L . Note that $\text{NextSet}(d)$ is non empty.

Let us consider A, L , let d be a bifunction from A into L , and let q be a sequence of quadruples of d . The functor $\text{NextDelta}(q)$ is defined by:

(Def. 19) $\text{NextDelta}(q) = \text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, \text{DistEsti}(d))$.

Let us consider A, L , let d be a distance function of A, L , and let q be a sequence of quadruples of d . Then $\text{NextDelta}(q)$ is a distance function of $\text{NextSet}(d), L$.

Let us consider A, L , let d be a distance function of A, L , let A_1 be a non empty set, and let d_1 be a distance function of A_1, L . We say that (A_1, d_1) is extension of (A, d) if and only if:

(Def. 20) There exists a sequence q of quadruples of d such that $A_1 = \text{NextSet}(d)$ and $d_1 = \text{NextDelta}(q)$.

Next we state the proposition

- (40) Let d be a distance function of A, L , A_1 be a non empty set, and d_1 be a distance function of A_1, L . Suppose (A_1, d_1) is extension of (A, d) . Let x, y be elements of A and a, b be elements of L . Suppose $d(x, y) \leq a \sqcup b$. Then there exist elements z_1, z_2, z_3 of A_1 such that $d_1(x, z_1) = a$ and $d_1(z_2, z_3) = a$ and $d_1(z_1, z_2) = b$ and $d_1(z_3, y) = b$.

Let us consider A, L and let d be a distance function of A, L . A function is called an extension sequence of (A, d) if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 21).

- (Def. 21)(i) $\text{dom it} = \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) $\text{it}(0) = \langle A, d \rangle$, and
- (iii) for every natural number n there exists a non empty set A' and there exists a distance function d' of A' , L and there exists a non empty set A_1 and there exists a distance function d_1 of A_1 , L such that (A_1, d_1) is extension of (A', d') and $\text{it}(n) = \langle A', d' \rangle$ and $\text{it}(n+1) = \langle A_1, d_1 \rangle$.

Next we state two propositions:

- (41) Let d be a distance function of A , L , S be an extension sequence of (A, d) , and k, l be natural numbers. If $k \leq l$, then $S(k)_1 \subseteq S(l)_1$.
- (42) Let d be a distance function of A , L , S be an extension sequence of (A, d) , and k, l be natural numbers. If $k \leq l$, then $S(k)_2 \subseteq S(l)_2$.

Let us consider L . The functor $\delta_0(L)$ yielding a distance function of the carrier of L , L is defined by:

- (Def. 22) For all elements x, y of L holds if $x \neq y$, then $(\delta_0(L))(x, y) = x \sqcup y$ and if $x = y$, then $(\delta_0(L))(x, y) = \perp_L$.

We now state several propositions:

- (43) $\delta_0(L)$ is onto.
- (44) Let S be an extension sequence of (the carrier of L , $\delta_0(L)$) and F_1 be a non empty set. Suppose $F_1 = \bigcup \{S(i)_1 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$. Then $\bigcup \{S(i)_2 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$ is a distance function of F_1 , L .
- (45) Let S be an extension sequence of (the carrier of L , $\delta_0(L)$), F_1 be a non empty set, F_2 be a distance function of F_1 , L , x, y be elements of F_1 , and a, b be elements of L . Suppose $F_1 = \bigcup \{S(i)_1 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$ and $F_2 = \bigcup \{S(i)_2 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$ and $F_2(x, y) \leq a \sqcup b$. Then there exist elements z_1, z_2, z_3 of F_1 such that $F_2(x, z_1) = a$ and $F_2(z_2, z_3) = a$ and $F_2(z_1, z_2) = b$ and $F_2(z_3, y) = b$.
- (46) Let S be an extension sequence of (the carrier of L , $\delta_0(L)$), F_1 be a non empty set, F_2 be a distance function of F_1 , L , f be a homomorphism from L to $\text{EqRelPoset}(F_1)$, x, y be elements of F_1 , e_1, e_2 be equivalence relations of F_1 , and given x, y . Suppose that
- (i) $f = \alpha(F_2)$,
- (ii) $F_1 = \bigcup \{S(i)_1 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$,
- (iii) $F_2 = \bigcup \{S(i)_2 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$,
- (iv) $e_1 \in \text{the carrier of Im } f$,
- (v) $e_2 \in \text{the carrier of Im } f$, and
- (vi) $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$.

Then there exists a non empty finite sequence F of elements of F_1 such that $\text{len } F = 3 + 2$ and x and y are joint by F , e_1 and e_2 .

- (47) There exists a non empty set A and there exists a homomorphism f from L to $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$ such that f is one-to-one and the type of $\text{Im } f \leq 3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll1/card_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll1/nat_1.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll1/ordinal1.html>.

- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinal2.html>.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cartesian product of functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/funct_6.html.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html>.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/binop_1.html.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html>.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.
- [15] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_2.html.
- [16] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pralg_1.html.
- [17] Robert Milewski. Lattice of congruences in many sorted algebra. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/msualg_5.html.
- [18] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html.
- [19] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/eqrel_1.html.
- [20] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Abian's fixed point theorem. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/abian.html>.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/domain_1.html.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/enumset1.html>.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart_1.html.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html>.
- [26] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html.
- [27] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.
- [29] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.
- [30] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_2.html.
- [31] Stanisław Żukowski. Introduction to lattice theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/lattices.html>.

- [32] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_2.html.

Received November 13, 1997

Published January 2, 2004
