

The Class of Series – Parallel Graphs. Part I

Krzysztof Retel
University of Białystok

Summary. The paper introduces some preliminary notions concerning the graph theory according to [18]. We define Necklace n as a graph with vertex $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ and edge set $\{(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n-1, n)\}$. The goal of the article is to prove that Necklace n and Complement of Necklace n are isomorphic for $n = 0, 1, 4$.

MML Identifier: NECKLACE.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol14/necklace.html>

The articles [21], [20], [24], [11], [1], [15], [6], [3], [22], [2], [23], [25], [27], [17], [7], [12], [19], [26], [8], [10], [9], [13], [4], [5], [14], and [16] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We follow the rules: n denotes a natural number and $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, y_1, y_2, y_3$ denote sets.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 be sets. We say that x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 are mutually different if and only if:

(Def. 1) $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $x_1 \neq x_3$ and $x_1 \neq x_4$ and $x_1 \neq x_5$ and $x_2 \neq x_3$ and $x_2 \neq x_4$ and $x_2 \neq x_5$ and $x_3 \neq x_4$ and $x_3 \neq x_5$ and $x_4 \neq x_5$.

We now state several propositions:

- (1) If x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 are mutually different, then $\text{card}\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\} = 5$.
- (2) $4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.
- (3) $[\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}] = \{\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_1, y_2 \rangle, \langle x_1, y_3 \rangle, \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle, \langle x_2, y_3 \rangle, \langle x_3, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_3, y_2 \rangle, \langle x_3, y_3 \rangle\}$.
- (4) For every set x and for every natural number n such that $x \in n$ holds x is a natural number.
- (5) For every non empty natural number x holds $0 \in x$.

Let us observe that every set which is natural is also cardinal.

Let X be a set. Observe that δ_X is one-to-one.

One can prove the following proposition

- (6) For every set X holds $\overline{\text{id}_X} = \overline{X}$.

Let R be a trivial binary relation. One can check that $\text{dom } R$ is trivial.

Let us observe that every function which is trivial is also one-to-one.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (7) For all functions f, g such that $\text{dom } f$ misses $\text{dom } g$ holds $\text{rng}(f+\cdot g) = \text{rng } f \cup \text{rng } g$.

(8) For all one-to-one functions f, g such that $\text{dom } f$ misses $\text{dom } g$ and $\text{rng } f$ misses $\text{rng } g$ holds $(f + g)^{-1} = f^{-1} + g^{-1}$.

(9) For all sets A, a, b holds $(A \mapsto a) + (A \mapsto b) = A \mapsto b$.

(10) For all sets a, b holds $(a \mapsto b)^{-1} = b \mapsto a$.

(11) For all sets a, b, c, d such that $a = b$ iff $c = d$ holds $[a \mapsto c, b \mapsto d]^{-1} = [c \mapsto a, d \mapsto b]$.

The scheme *Convers* deals with a non empty set \mathcal{A} , a binary relation \mathcal{B} , two unary functors \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} yielding sets, and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

$$\mathcal{B}^\sim = \{ \langle \mathcal{F}(x), \mathcal{G}(x) \rangle ; x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}[x] \}$$

provided the following condition is met:

- $\mathcal{B} = \{ \langle \mathcal{G}(x), \mathcal{F}(x) \rangle ; x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}[x] \}$.

Next we state the proposition

(12) For all natural numbers i, j, n such that $i < j$ and $j \in n$ holds $i \in n$.

2. AUXILIARY CONCEPTS

Let R, S be relational structures. We say that S embeds R if and only if the condition (Def. 2) is satisfied.

(Def. 2) There exists a map f from R into S such that

- (i) f is one-to-one, and
- (ii) for all elements x, y of R holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in$ the internal relation of R iff $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in$ the internal relation of S .

Let R, S be non empty relational structures. Let us note that the predicate S embeds R is reflexive. We now state the proposition

(13) For all non empty relational structures R, S, T such that R embeds S and S embeds T holds R embeds T .

Let R, S be non empty relational structures. We say that R is equimorphic to S if and only if:

(Def. 3) R embeds S and S embeds R .

Let us notice that the predicate R is equimorphic to S is reflexive and symmetric.

Next we state the proposition

(14) Let R, S, T be non empty relational structures. Suppose R is equimorphic to S and S is equimorphic to T . Then R is equimorphic to T .

Let R be a non empty relational structure. We introduce R is parallel as an antonym of R is connected.

Let R be a relational structure. We say that R is symmetric if and only if:

(Def. 4) The internal relation of R is symmetric in the carrier of R .

Let R be a relational structure. We say that R is asymmetric if and only if:

(Def. 5) The internal relation of R is asymmetric.

Next we state the proposition

(15) Let R be a relational structure. Suppose R is asymmetric. Then the internal relation of R misses (the internal relation of R)[◁].

Let R be a relational structure. We say that R is irreflexive if and only if:

(Def. 6) For every set x such that $x \in$ the carrier of R holds $\langle x, x \rangle \notin$ the internal relation of R .

Let n be a natural number. The functor $n\text{-SuccRelStr}$ yields a strict relational structure and is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) The carrier of $n\text{-SuccRelStr} = n$ and the internal relation of $n\text{-SuccRelStr} = \{\langle i, i + 1 \rangle; i \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } i + 1 < n\}$.

The following two propositions are true:

(16) For every natural number n holds $n\text{-SuccRelStr}$ is asymmetric.

(17) If $n > 0$, then $\overline{\overline{\text{the internal relation of } n\text{-SuccRelStr}}} = n - 1$.

Let R be a relational structure. The functor $\text{SymRelStr } R$ yielding a strict relational structure is defined by the conditions (Def. 8).

(Def. 8)(i) The carrier of $\text{SymRelStr } R =$ the carrier of R , and

(ii) the internal relation of $\text{SymRelStr } R = (\text{the internal relation of } R) \cup (\text{the internal relation of } R)^\smile$.

Let R be a relational structure. One can check that $\text{SymRelStr } R$ is symmetric.

Let us note that there exists a relational structure which is non empty and symmetric.

Let R be a symmetric relational structure. Observe that the internal relation of R is symmetric.

Let R be a relational structure. The functor $\text{ComplRelStr } R$ yielding a strict relational structure is defined by the conditions (Def. 9).

(Def. 9)(i) The carrier of $\text{ComplRelStr } R =$ the carrier of R , and

(ii) the internal relation of $\text{ComplRelStr } R = (\text{the internal relation of } R)^c \setminus \text{id}_{\text{the carrier of } R}$.

Let R be a non empty relational structure. Observe that $\text{ComplRelStr } R$ is non empty.

Next we state the proposition

(18) Let S, R be relational structures. Suppose S and R are isomorphic. Then $\overline{\overline{\text{the internal relation of } S}} = \overline{\overline{\text{the internal relation of } R}}$.

3. NECKLACE n

Let n be a natural number. The functor $\text{Necklace } n$ yielding a strict relational structure is defined by:

(Def. 10) $\text{Necklace } n = \text{SymRelStr } n\text{-SuccRelStr}$.

Let n be a natural number. Observe that $\text{Necklace } n$ is symmetric.

We now state two propositions:

(19) The internal relation of $\text{Necklace } n = \{\langle i, i + 1 \rangle; i \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } i + 1 < n\} \cup \{\langle i + 1, i \rangle; i \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } i + 1 < n\}$.

(20) Let x be a set. Then $x \in$ the internal relation of $\text{Necklace } n$ if and only if there exists a natural number i such that $i + 1 < n$ but $x = \langle i, i + 1 \rangle$ or $x = \langle i + 1, i \rangle$.

Let n be a natural number. One can verify that $\text{Necklace } n$ is irreflexive.

One can prove the following proposition

(21) For every natural number n holds the carrier of $\text{Necklace } n = n$.

Let n be a non empty natural number. Observe that $\text{Necklace } n$ is non empty.

Let n be a natural number. Note that the carrier of $\text{Necklace } n$ is finite.

The following propositions are true:

- (22) For all natural numbers n, i such that $i + 1 < n$ holds $\langle i, i + 1 \rangle \in$ the internal relation of Necklace n .
- (23) For every natural number n and for every natural number i such that $i \in$ the carrier of Necklace n holds $i < n$.
- (24) For every non empty natural number n holds Necklace n is connected.
- (25) For all natural numbers i, j such that $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the internal relation of Necklace n holds $i = j + 1$ or $j = i + 1$.
- (26) Let i, j be natural numbers. Suppose $i = j + 1$ or $j = i + 1$ but $i \in$ the carrier of Necklace n but $j \notin$ the carrier of Necklace n . Then $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the internal relation of Necklace n .
- (27) If $n > 0$, then $\overline{\overline{\{\langle i + 1, i \rangle; i \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } i + 1 < n\}}} = n - 1$.
- (28) If $n > 0$, then $\overline{\overline{\text{the internal relation of Necklace } n}} = 2 \cdot (n - 1)$.
- (29) Necklace 1 and ComplRelStrNecklace 1 are isomorphic.
- (30) Necklace 4 and ComplRelStrNecklace 4 are isomorphic.
- (31) If Necklace n and ComplRelStrNecklace n are isomorphic, then $n = 0$ or $n = 1$ or $n = 4$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/card_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/nat_1.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ordinal1.html>.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ordinal2.html>.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.
- [6] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/realset1.html>.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_3.html.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_1.html.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_2.html.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/partfun1.html>.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/zfmisc_1.html.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc_lang.html.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_1.html.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finset_1.html.
- [16] Adam Grabowski. On the category of posets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/orders_3.html.
- [17] Shunichi Kobayashi. Predicate calculus for boolean valued functions. Part XII. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/bvfunc24.html>.
- [18] Stephan Thomasse. On better-quasi-ordering countable series-parallel orders. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 352(6):2491–2505, 2000.

- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funcop_1.html.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/enumset1.html>.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html>.
- [23] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/orders_1.html.
- [24] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset_1.html.
- [25] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_1.html.
- [26] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relset_1.html.
- [27] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_2.html.

Received November 18, 2002

Published January 2, 2004
