# **Insert Sort on SCMPDS**<sup>1</sup>

## Jing-Chao Chen Shanghai Jiaotong University

**Summary.** The goal of this article is to examine the effectiveness of "for-loop" and "while-loop" statements on SCMPDS by insert sort. In this article, first of all, we present an approach to compute the execution result of "for-loop" program by "loop-invariant", based on Hoare's axioms for program verification. Secondly, we extend the fundamental properties of the finite sequence and complex instructions of SCMPDS. Finally, we prove the correctness of the insert sort program described in the article.

MML Identifier: SCPISORT.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol12/scpisort.html

The articles [20], [5], [6], [19], [2], [16], [18], [4], [12], [7], [1], [10], [8], [9], [11], [3], [14], [13], [17], and [15] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

### 1. Preliminaries

In this paper n,  $p_0$  are natural numbers.

Let f be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , let s be a state of SCMPDS, and let m be a natural number. We say that f is FinSequence on s, m if and only if:

(Def. 1) For every natural number i such that  $1 \le i$  and  $i \le \text{len } f$  holds f(i) = s(intpos m + i).

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (1) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and m, n be natural numbers. If  $m \ge n$ , then f is non decreasing on m, n.
- (2) Let s be a state of SCMPDS and n, m be natural numbers. Then there exists a finite sequence f of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that len f = n and for every natural number i such that  $1 \le i$  and  $i \le \text{len } f$  holds f(i) = s(intpos m + i).
- (3) Let s be a state of SCMPDS and n, m be natural numbers. Then there exists a finite sequence f of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that len f = n and f is FinSequence on s, m.
- (4) Let f, g be finite sequences of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and m, n be natural numbers. Suppose that  $1 \le n$  and  $n \le \text{len } f$  and  $1 \le m$  and  $m \le \text{len } f$  and  $1 \le m$  and  $m \le \text{len } f$  and  $m \le \text{len } f$  and  $m \le m$  and  $m \ge m$  and

We now state several propositions:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This research is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant No. 69873033

- (5) For all states  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$  of SCMPDS such that for every Int position a holds  $s_1(a) = s_2(a)$  holds Dstate  $s_1 = D$ state  $s_2$ .
- (6) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode Program-block, and j be a parahalting shiftable instruction of SCMPDS. Suppose I is closed on s and halting on s. Then I; j is closed on s and I; j is halting on s.
- (7) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode Program-block, J be a shiftable parahalting Program-block, and a be an Int position. If I is closed on s and halting on s, then (IExec(I; J, s))(a) = (IExec(J, IExec(I, s)))(a).
- (8) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode parahalting Program-block, J be a shiftable Program-block, and a be an Int position. If J is closed on IExec(I,s) and halting on  $\operatorname{IExec}(I, s)$ , then  $(\operatorname{IExec}(I; J, s))(a) = (\operatorname{IExec}(J, \operatorname{IExec}(I, s)))(a)$ .
- (9) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a Program-block, and J be a shiftable parahalting Program-block. Suppose I is closed on s and halting on s. Then I; J is closed on s and *I*; *J* is halting on *s*.
- (10) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a parahalting Program-block, and J be a shiftable Program-block. Suppose J is closed on IExec(I,s) and halting on IExec(I,s). Then I;Jis closed on s and I; J is halting on s.
- (11) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a Program-block, and j be a parahalting shiftable instruction of SCMPDS. Suppose I is closed on s and halting on s. Then I; j is closed on s and I; j is halting on s.
- 2. Computing the Execution Result of For-Loop Program by Loop-Invariant

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme ForDownHalt deals with a state  $\mathcal{A}$ of SCMPDS, a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block  $\mathcal{B}$ , an Int position  $\mathcal{C}$ , an integer  $\mathcal{D}$ , a natural number  $\mathcal{E}$ , and a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

 $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}]$  or not  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}]$  but for-down $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$  is closed on  $\mathcal{A}$  but for-down $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$ is halting on  $\mathcal{A}$ 

provided the parameters meet the following requirements:

- $\mathcal{E} > 0$ ,
- $\mathcal{P}[Dstate \mathcal{A}]$ , and
- Let t be a state of SCMPDS. Suppose  $\mathcal{P}[Dstatet]$  and  $t(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $t(DataLoc(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) >$ 0. Then  $(\text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}; \text{AddTo}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, -\mathcal{E}), t))(\mathcal{C}) = t(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(\text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}; \text{AddTo}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, -\mathcal{E}), t))(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) = t(\mathcal{C})$  $t(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) - \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed on t and  $\mathcal{B}$  is halting on t and  $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate IExec}(\mathcal{B}; \text{AddTo}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, -\mathcal{E}), t)].$

The scheme ForDownExec deals with a state A of SCMPDS, a No-StopCode shiftable Programblock  $\mathcal{B}$ , an Int position  $\mathcal{C}$ , an integer  $\mathcal{D}$ , a natural number  $\mathcal{E}$ , and a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states

 $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}]$  or not  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}]$  but IExec(for-down( $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}$ ),  $\mathcal{A}$ ) = IExec(for-down( $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}$ ), IExec( $\mathcal{B}$ ; AddTo( $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, -\mathcal{E}$ ),  $\mathcal{A}$ ) provided the following requirements are met:

- $\mathcal{E} > 0$ ,
- $\mathcal{A}(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) > 0$ ,
- $\mathcal{P}[Dstate \mathcal{A}]$ , and
- Let t be a state of SCMPDS. Suppose  $\mathcal{P}[Dstatet]$  and  $t(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $t(DataLoc(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) >$ 0. Then  $(\operatorname{IExec}(\mathcal{B}; \operatorname{AddTo}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, -\mathcal{E}), t))(\mathcal{C}) = t(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(\operatorname{IExec}(\mathcal{B}; \operatorname{AddTo}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, -\mathcal{E}), t))(\operatorname{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) = t(\mathcal{C})$  $t(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) - \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed on t and  $\mathcal{B}$  is halting on t and  $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate IExec}(\mathcal{B}; \text{AddTo}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, -\mathcal{E}), t)].$

The scheme ForDownEnd deals with a state A of SCMPDS, a No-StopCode shiftable Programblock  $\mathcal{B}$ , an Int position  $\mathcal{C}$ , an integer  $\mathcal{D}$ , a natural number  $\mathcal{E}$ , and a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states

```
\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}] or not \mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}] but (\text{IExec}(\text{for-down}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A}))(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) \leq 0
but \mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate IExec}(\text{for-down}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A})]
```

provided the following requirements are met:

•  $\mathcal{E} > 0$ ,

- $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate }\mathcal{A}]$ , and
- Let t be a state of SCMPDS. Suppose  $\mathcal{P}[\operatorname{Dstate} t]$  and  $t(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $t(\operatorname{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) > 0$ . Then  $(\operatorname{IExec}(\mathcal{B}; \operatorname{AddTo}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, -\mathcal{E}), t))(\mathcal{C}) = t(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(\operatorname{IExec}(\mathcal{B}; \operatorname{AddTo}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, -\mathcal{E}), t))(\operatorname{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) = t(\operatorname{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed on t and  $\mathcal{B}$  is halting on t and  $\mathcal{P}[\operatorname{Dstate} \operatorname{IExec}(\mathcal{B}; \operatorname{AddTo}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, -\mathcal{E}), t)]$ . One can prove the following propositions:
- (12) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a, x, y be Int positions, i, c be integers, and n be a natural number. Suppose that
  - (i) n > 0,
- (ii)  $s(x) \ge s(y) + c$ , and
- (iii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that  $t(x) \ge t(y) + c$  and t(a) = s(a) and t(DataLoc(s(a),i)) > 0 holds (IExec(I; AddTo(a,i,-n),t))(a) = t(a) and (IExec(I; AddTo(a,i,-n),t))(DataLoc(s(a)) = t(a) and t(a) = t(a) and (IExec(t; AddTo(t)) = t(a) and (IExec(t); AddTo(t))(t) = t(a) and t(a) = t(a) and (IExec(t); AddTo(t))(t) = t(a) and (IExec(t))(t) = t(a) and (IExec(t))(

Then for-down(a, i, n, I) is closed on s and for-down(a, i, n, I) is halting on s.

- (13) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a, x, y be Int positions, i, c be integers, and n be a natural number. Suppose that
  - (i) n > 0,
- (ii)  $s(x) \ge s(y) + c$ ,
- (iii) s(DataLoc(s(a), i)) > 0, and
- (iv) for every state t of SCMPDS such that  $t(x) \ge t(y) + c$  and t(a) = s(a) and  $t(\operatorname{DataLoc}(s(a),i)) > 0$  holds (IExec(I; AddTo(a,i,-n),t))(a) = t(a) and (IExec(I; AddTo(a,i,-n),t))(DataLoc(s(a)) = t(a) and (IExec(I; AddTo(a,i,-n),t))(t) = (IExec(t; AddTo(t),t) = (IExec(t); AddTo(t),t) = t(a) =

Then  $\operatorname{IExec}(\operatorname{for-down}(a,i,n,I),s) = \operatorname{IExec}(\operatorname{for-down}(a,i,n,I),\operatorname{IExec}(I;\operatorname{AddTo}(a,i,-n),s)).$ 

- (14) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a be an Int position, i be an integer, and n be a natural number. Suppose that
  - (i) s(DataLoc(s(a), i)) > 0,
- (ii) n > 0,
- (iii)  $\operatorname{card} I > 0$ ,
- (iv)  $a \neq \text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)$ , and
- (v) for every state t of SCMPDS such that t(a) = s(a) holds (IExec(I,t))(a) = t(a) and (IExec(I,t))(DataLoc(s(a),i)) = t(DataLoc(s(a),i)) and I is closed on t and halting on t.

Then for-down(a, i, n, I) is closed on s and for-down(a, i, n, I) is halting on s.

#### 3. A PROGRAM FOR INSERT SORT

Let n,  $p_0$  be natural numbers. The functor insert-sort(n,  $p_0$ ) yields a Program-block and is defined by the condition (Def. 2).

- (Def. 2) insert-sort( $n, p_0$ ) = (GBP:=0); ((GBP)<sub>1</sub>:=0); ((GBP)<sub>2</sub>:=n-1); ((GBP)<sub>3</sub>:= $p_0$ ); for-down(GBP, 2, 1, AddTo(GBP (GBP,3)); AddTo(GBP, 1, 1); ((GBP,6) := (GBP,1)); while > 0(GBP,6), ((GBP,5) := (intpos 4, -1)); SubFrom(GB 5 then ((GBP,5) := (intpos 4, -1)); ((intpos 4, -1) := (intpos 4, 0)); ((intpos 4, 0) := (GBP,5)); AddTo(GBP,4,-1);
  - 4. The Property of Insert Sort and Its Correctness

Next we state two propositions:

- (15) cardinsert-sort $(n, p_0) = 23$ .
- (16) If  $p_0 \ge 7$ , then insert-sort $(n, p_0)$  is parahalting.

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (17) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, f, g be finite sequences of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $k_0$ , k be natural numbers. Suppose that  $s(a_4) \geq 7 + s(a_6)$  and s(GBP) = 0 and  $k = s(a_6)$  and  $k_0 = s(a_4) s(a_6) 1$  and f is FinSequence on s,  $k_0$  and g is FinSequence on IExec( $I_2$ , s),  $k_0$  and len f = len g and len f > k and f is non decreasing on 1, k. Then
  - (i) f and g are fiberwise equipotent,
- (ii) g is non decreasing on 1, k+1,
- (iii) for every natural number i such that i > k+1 and  $i \le \text{len } f$  holds f(i) = g(i), and
- (iv) for every natural number i such that  $1 \le i$  and  $i \le k+1$  there exists a natural number j such that  $1 \le j$  and  $j \le k+1$  and g(i) = f(j),

```
where a_4 = \text{intpos} 4, a_6 = \text{intpos} 6, I_2 = W_1, W_1 = \text{while} > 0(\text{GBP}, 6, B_1), B_1 = k_1; k_2; I_1, k_1 = (\text{GBP}, 5) := (\text{intpos} 4, -1), k_2 = \text{SubFrom}(\text{GBP}, 5, \text{intpos} 4, 0), I_1 = \text{if GBP} > 5 \text{ then } T_1 \text{ else } F_1, T_1 = k_3; k_4; k_5; k_6; k_7, k_3 = (\text{GBP}, 5) := (\text{intpos} 4, -1), k_4 = (\text{intpos} 4, -1) := (\text{intpos} 4, 0), k_5 = (\text{intpos} 4, 0) := (\text{GBP}, 5), k_6 = \text{AddTo}(\text{GBP}, 4, -1), k_7 = \text{AddTo}(\text{GBP}, 6, -1), and F_1 = \text{Load}((\text{GBP})_6 := 0).
```

(18) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, f, g be finite sequences of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $p_0$ , n be natural numbers. Suppose  $p_0 \ge 6$  and len f = n and len g = n and f is FinSequence on s,  $p_0$  and g is FinSequence on IExec(insert-sort $(n, p_0 + 1), s$ ),  $p_0$ . Then f and g are fiberwise equipotent and g is non decreasing on 1, n.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/card\_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat\_1.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq\_1.html.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/funct\_7.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct\_1.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct\_4.html.
- [7] Jing-Chao Chen. Computation and program shift in the SCMPDS computer. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/scmpds\_3.html.
- [8] Jing-Chao Chen. Computation of two consecutive program blocks for SCMPDS. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/scmpds\_5.html.
- [9] Jing-Chao Chen. The construction and computation of conditional statements for SCMPDS. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/scmpds\_6.html.
- [10] Jing-Chao Chen. The construction and shiftability of program blocks for SCMPDS. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/scmpds\_4.html.
- [11] Jing-Chao Chen. Recursive Euclide algorithm. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/scmp\_gcd.html.
- [12] Jing-Chao Chen. The SCMPDS computer and the basic semantics of its instructions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/scmpds\_2.html.
- [13] Jing-Chao Chen. The construction and computation of while-loop programs for SCMPDS. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 12, 2000. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol12/scmpds 8.html.
- [14] Jing-Chao Chen and Piotr Rudnicki. The construction and computation of for-loop programs for SCMPDS. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/scmpds\_7.html.
- [15] Jarosław Kotowicz. Functions and finite sequences of real numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol5/rfinseq.html.
- [16] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/ami\_1.html.

- [17] Piotr Rudnicki. The for (going up) macro instruction. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/sfmastr3.html.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vo15/ami\_3.html.
- $[19] \begin{tabular}{ll} Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. {\it Journal of Formalized Mathematics}, 2, 1990. $$ $$ http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/int_1.html. $$ $$$
- [20] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat\_1.html.

Received June 14, 2000

Published January 2, 2004