

On the Composition of Non-parahalting Macro Instructions

Piotr Rudnicki
University of Alberta
Edmonton

Summary. An attempt to use the `Times` macro, [2], was the origin of writing this article. First, the semantics of the macro composition as developed in [26], [3], [4] is extended to the case of macro instructions which are not always halting. Next, several functors extending the memory handling for $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, [19], are defined; they are convenient when writing more complicated programs. After this preparatory work, we define a macro instruction computing the Fibonacci sequence (see the SCM program computing the same sequence in [9]) and prove its correctness. The semantics of the `Times` macro is given in [2] only for the case when the iterated instruction is parahalting; this is remedied in [18].

MML Identifier: SFMASTR1.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/sfmastr1.html>

The articles [22], [21], [13], [28], [23], [15], [5], [6], [29], [11], [12], [14], [10], [16], [8], [17], [24], [7], [20], [27], [25], [26], [3], [19], [4], [1], and [2] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. GOOD INSTRUCTIONS AND GOOD MACRO INSTRUCTION

Let i be an instruction of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. We say that i is good if and only if:

(Def. 1) $\text{Macro}(i)$ is good.

Let a be a read-write integer location and let b be an integer location. One can verify the following observations:

- * $a:=b$ is good,
- * $\text{AddTo}(a,b)$ is good,
- * $\text{SubFrom}(a,b)$ is good, and
- * $\text{MultBy}(a,b)$ is good.

Let us observe that there exists an instruction of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ which is good and parahalting.

Let a, b be read-write integer locations. Observe that $\text{Divide}(a,b)$ is good.

Let l be an instruction-location of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Observe that $\text{goto } l$ is good.

Let a be an integer location and let l be an instruction-location of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. One can verify that **if** $a = 0$ **goto** l is good and **if** $a > 0$ **goto** l is good.

Let a be an integer location, let f be a finite sequence location, and let b be a read-write integer location. Note that $b:=f_a$ is good.

Let f be a finite sequence location and let b be a read-write integer location. One can check that $b := \text{len } f$ is good.

Let f be a finite sequence location and let a be an integer location. Note that $f := \underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_a$ is

good. Let b be an integer location. Note that $f_a := b$ is good.

Let us observe that there exists an instruction of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ which is good.

Let i be a good instruction of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Observe that $\text{Macro}(i)$ is good.

Let i, j be good instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. One can verify that $i; j$ is good.

Let i be a good instruction of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ and let I be a good macro instruction. One can check that $i; I$ is good and $I; i$ is good.

Let a, b be read-write integer locations. Note that $\text{swap}(a, b)$ is good.

Let I be a good macro instruction and let a be a read-write integer location. Note that $\text{Times}(a, I)$ is good.

We now state the proposition

- (1) For every integer location a and for every macro instruction I such that $a \notin \text{UsedIntLoc}(I)$ holds I does not destroy a .

2. COMPOSITION OF NON-PARAHALTING MACRO INSTRUCTIONS

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: s, S are states of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, I, J are macro instructions, I_1 is a good macro instruction, i is a good parahalting instruction of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, j is a parahalting instruction of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, a, b are integer locations, and f is a finite sequence location.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (2) $(I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))) \upharpoonright D = \emptyset$, where $D = \text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}$.
- (3) If I is halting on $\text{Initialize}(S)$ and closed on $\text{Initialize}(S)$ and J is closed on $\text{IExec}(I, S)$, then $I; J$ is closed on $\text{Initialize}(S)$.
- (4) If I is halting on $\text{Initialize}(S)$ and J is halting on $\text{IExec}(I, S)$ and I is closed on $\text{Initialize}(S)$ and J is closed on $\text{IExec}(I, S)$, then $I; J$ is halting on $\text{Initialize}(S)$.
- (5) Suppose I is closed on s and $I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s$ and s is halting. Let m be a natural number. Suppose $m \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s)$. Then $(\text{Computation}(s))(m)$ and $(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I; J)))(m)$ are equal outside the instruction locations of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$.
- (6) Suppose I_1 is halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and J is halting on $\text{IExec}(I_1, s)$ and I_1 is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and J is closed on $\text{IExec}(I_1, s)$. Then $\text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot \text{Initialized}(I_1; J)) = \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot \text{Initialized}(I_1)) + 1 + \text{LifeSpan}(\text{Result}(s + \cdot \text{Initialized}(I_1)) + \cdot \text{Initialized}(J))$.
- (7) Suppose I_1 is halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and J is halting on $\text{IExec}(I_1, s)$ and I_1 is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and J is closed on $\text{IExec}(I_1, s)$. Then $\text{IExec}(I_1; J, s) = \text{IExec}(J, \text{IExec}(I_1, s)) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{IExec}(J, \text{IExec}(I_1, s))} + \text{card } I_1)$.
- (8) Suppose that
 - (i) I_1 is parahalting, halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, and closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, and
 - (ii) J is parahalting, halting on $\text{IExec}(I_1, s)$, and closed on $\text{IExec}(I_1, s)$.
 Then $(\text{IExec}(I_1; J, s))(a) = (\text{IExec}(J, \text{IExec}(I_1, s)))(a)$.
- (9) Suppose that
 - (i) I_1 is parahalting, halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, and closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, and
 - (ii) J is parahalting, halting on $\text{IExec}(I_1, s)$, and closed on $\text{IExec}(I_1, s)$.
 Then $(\text{IExec}(I_1; J, s))(f) = (\text{IExec}(J, \text{IExec}(I_1, s)))(f)$.

(10) Suppose that

- (i) I_1 is parahalting, halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, and closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, and
- (ii) J is parahalting, halting on $\text{IExec}(I_1, s)$, and closed on $\text{IExec}(I_1, s)$.

Then $\text{IExec}(I_1; J, s) \upharpoonright D = \text{IExec}(J, \text{IExec}(I_1, s)) \upharpoonright D$, where $D = \text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}$.

(11) If I_1 is parahalting, closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, and halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, then $\text{Initialize}(\text{IExec}(I_1, s)) \upharpoonright D = \text{IExec}(I_1, s) \upharpoonright D$, where $D = \text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}$.

(12) If I_1 is parahalting, halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, and closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, then $(\text{IExec}(I_1; j, s))(a) = (\text{Exec}(j, \text{IExec}(I_1, s)))(a)$.

(13) If I_1 is parahalting, halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, and closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, then $(\text{IExec}(I_1; j, s))(f) = (\text{Exec}(j, \text{IExec}(I_1, s)))(f)$.

(14) If I_1 is parahalting, halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, and closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, then $\text{IExec}(I_1; j, s) \upharpoonright D = \text{Exec}(j, \text{IExec}(I_1, s)) \upharpoonright D$, where $D = \text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}$.

(15) If J is parahalting, halting on $\text{Exec}(i, \text{Initialize}(s))$, and closed on $\text{Exec}(i, \text{Initialize}(s))$, then $(\text{IExec}(i; J, s))(a) = (\text{IExec}(J, \text{Exec}(i, \text{Initialize}(s))))(a)$.

(16) If J is parahalting, halting on $\text{Exec}(i, \text{Initialize}(s))$, and closed on $\text{Exec}(i, \text{Initialize}(s))$, then $(\text{IExec}(i; J, s))(f) = (\text{IExec}(J, \text{Exec}(i, \text{Initialize}(s))))(f)$.

(17) If J is parahalting, halting on $\text{Exec}(i, \text{Initialize}(s))$, and closed on $\text{Exec}(i, \text{Initialize}(s))$, then $\text{IExec}(i; J, s) \upharpoonright D = \text{IExec}(J, \text{Exec}(i, \text{Initialize}(s))) \upharpoonright D$, where $D = \text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}$.

3. MEMORY ALLOCATION

In the sequel L is a finite subset of Int-Locations and m, n are natural numbers.

Let d be an integer location. Then $\{d\}$ is a subset of Int-Locations . Let e be an integer location. Then $\{d, e\}$ is a subset of Int-Locations . Let f be an integer location. Then $\{d, e, f\}$ is a subset of Int-Locations . Let g be an integer location. Then $\{d, e, f, g\}$ is a subset of Int-Locations .

Let L be a finite subset of Int-Locations . The functor $\text{RWNotIn-seq}L$ yields a function from \mathbb{N} into $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and is defined by the conditions (Def. 2).

- (Def. 2)(i) $(\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(0) = \{k; k \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } \text{intloc}(k) \notin L \wedge k \neq 0\}$,
- (ii) for every natural number i and for every non empty subset s_1 of \mathbb{N} such that $(\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(i) = s_1$ holds $(\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(i+1) = s_1 \setminus \{\min s_1\}$, and
 - (iii) for every natural number i holds $(\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(i)$ is infinite.

Let L be a finite subset of Int-Locations and let n be a natural number. Note that $(\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(n)$ is non empty.

Next we state three propositions:

- (18) $0 \notin (\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(n)$ and for every m such that $m \in (\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(n)$ holds $\text{intloc}(m) \notin L$.
- (19) $\min(\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(n) < \min(\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(n+1)$.
- (20) If $n < m$, then $\min(\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(n) < \min(\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(m)$.

Let n be a natural number and let L be a finite subset of Int-Locations . The functor $n^{\text{th}}\text{-RWNotIn}(L)$ yields an integer location and is defined by:

- (Def. 3) $n^{\text{th}}\text{-RWNotIn}(L) = \text{intloc}(\min(\text{RWNotIn-seq}L)(n))$.

We introduce $1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(L)$, $2^{\text{nd}}\text{-RWNotIn}(L)$, $3^{\text{rd}}\text{-RWNotIn}(L)$ as synonyms of $n^{\text{th}}\text{-RWNotIn}(L)$.

Let n be a natural number and let L be a finite subset of Int-Locations . Note that $n^{\text{th}}\text{-RWNotIn}(L)$ is read-write.

Next we state two propositions:

(21) $n^{\text{th}}\text{-RWNotIn}(L) \notin L$.

(22) If $n \neq m$, then $n^{\text{th}}\text{-RWNotIn}(L) \neq m^{\text{th}}\text{-RWNotIn}(L)$.

Let n be a natural number and let p be a programmed finite partial state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. The functor $n^{\text{th}}\text{-NotUsed}(p)$ yields an integer location and is defined by:

(Def. 4) $n^{\text{th}}\text{-NotUsed}(p) = n^{\text{th}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\text{UsedIntLoc}(p))$.

We introduce $1^{\text{st}}\text{-NotUsed}(p)$, $2^{\text{nd}}\text{-NotUsed}(p)$, $3^{\text{rd}}\text{-NotUsed}(p)$ as synonyms of $n^{\text{th}}\text{-NotUsed}(p)$.

Let n be a natural number and let p be a programmed finite partial state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Observe that $n^{\text{th}}\text{-NotUsed}(p)$ is read-write.

4. A MACRO FOR THE FIBONACCI SEQUENCE

We now state the proposition

(23) $a \in \text{UsedIntLoc}(\text{swap}(a, b))$ and $b \in \text{UsedIntLoc}(\text{swap}(a, b))$.

Let N, r_1 be integer locations. The functor $\text{Fib_macro}(N, r_1)$ yielding a macro instruction is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) $\text{Fib_macro}(N, r_1) = (N_1 := N); \text{SubFrom}(r_1, r_1); (n_1 := \text{intloc}(0)); (a_1 := N_1); \text{Times}(a_1, \text{AddTo}(r_1, n_1)); \text{swap}(r_1, n_1)$
 where $N_1 = 2^{\text{nd}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\text{UsedIntLoc}(\text{swap}(r_1, n_1)))$, $n_1 = 1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\{N, r_1\})$, and $a_1 = 1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\text{UsedIntLoc}(\text{swap}(r_1, n_1)))$.

The following proposition is true

(24) Let N, r_1 be read-write integer locations. Suppose $N \neq r_1$. Let n be a natural number. If $n = s(N)$, then $(\text{IExec}(\text{Fib_macro}(N, r_1), s))(r_1) = \text{Fib}(n)$ and $(\text{IExec}(\text{Fib_macro}(N, r_1), s))(N) = s(N)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Noriko Asamoto. Constant assignment macro instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Part II. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa7b.html>.
- [2] Noriko Asamoto. The loop and times macroinstruction for $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/scmfsa8c.html>.
- [3] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part II. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa6b.html>.
- [4] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part III. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa6c.html>.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/card_1.html.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat_1.html.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Development of terminology for **scm**. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/scm_1.html.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Two programs for **scm**. Part I - preliminaries. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pre_ff.html.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Two programs for **scm**. Part II - programs. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/fib_fusc.html.
- [10] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/funct_7.html.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

- [14] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał and Andrzej Trybulec. Similarity of formulae. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/cqc_sim1.html.
- [17] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/ami_1.html.
- [18] Piotr Rudnicki. Another times macro instruction. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/sfmastr2.html>.
- [19] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Memory handling for SCM_{FSA} . *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/sf_mastr.html.
- [20] Yasushi Tanaka. On the decomposition of the states of SCM. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/ami_5.html.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/enumset1.html>.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html>.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/ami_3.html.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Modifying addresses of instructions of SCM_{FSA} . *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa_4.html.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Noriko Asamoto. On the compositions of macro instructions. Part I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa6a.html>.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. The SCM_{FSA} computer. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa_2.html.
- [28] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.
- [29] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

Received June 3, 1998

Published January 2, 2004
