JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 13, Released 2001, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

Compactness of Lim-inf Topology

Grzegorz Bancerek
University of Białystok

Noboru Endou
Shinshu University
Nagano

Summary. Formalization of [14], chapter III, section 3 (3.4–3.6).

MML Identifier: WAYBEL33.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol13/waybel33.html

The articles [22], [11], [27], [23], [26], [13], [28], [29], [9], [10], [18], [19], [16], [1], [2], [20], [3], [15], [24], [30], [4], [17], [25], [12], [8], [5], [6], [21], and [7] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

Let L be a non empty poset, let X be a non empty subset of L, and let F be a filter of $2^X_\subseteq$. The functor $\liminf F$ yielding an element of L is defined by:

(Def. 1) $\liminf F = \bigsqcup_L \{\inf B; B$ ranges over subsets of L: $B \in F\}$.

We now state the proposition

(1) Let L_1, L_2 be complete lattices. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2. Let X_1 be a non empty subset of L_1, X_2 be a non empty subset of L_2, F_1 be a filter of $2^{X_1}_\subseteq$, and F_2 be a filter of $2^{X_2}_\subseteq$. If $F_1 = F_2$, then $\liminf F_1 = \liminf F_2$.

Let L be a non empty FR-structure. We say that L is lim-inf if and only if:

(Def. 2) The topology of $L = \xi(L)$.

One can verify that every non empty FR-structure which is lim-inf is also topological space-like.

Let us observe that every top-lattice which is trivial is also lim-inf.

One can check that there exists a top-lattice which is lim-inf, continuous, and complete.

Next we state several propositions:

(2) Let L_1, L_2 be non empty 1-sorted structures. Suppose the carrier of $L_1 =$ the carrier of L_2. Let N_1 be a net structure over L_1. Then there exists a strict net structure N_2 over L_2 such that

(i) the relational structure of $N_1 =$ the relational structure of N_2, and

(ii) the mapping of $N_1 =$ the mapping of N_2.

(3) Let L_1, L_2 be non empty 1-sorted structures. Suppose the carrier of $L_1 =$ the carrier of L_2. Let N_1 be a net structure over L_1. Suppose $N_1 \in \mathrm{NetUniv}(L_1)$. Then there exists a strict net N_2 in L_2 such that

(i) $N_2 \in \mathrm{NetUniv}(L_2)$,

(ii) the relational structure of $N_1 =$ the relational structure of N_2, and

(iii) the mapping of $N_1 =$ the mapping of N_2.

(4) Let L_1, L_2 be inf-complete up-complete semilattices. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2. Let N_1 be a net in L_1 and N_2 be a net in L_2. Suppose that

(i) the relational structure of $N_1 =$ the relational structure of N_2, and

(ii) the mapping of $N_1 =$ the mapping of N_2.

Then $\liminf N_1 = \liminf N_2$.

(5) Let L_1, L_2 be non empty 1-sorted structures. Suppose the carrier of $L_1 =$ the carrier of L_2. Let N_1 be a net in L_1 and N_2 be a net in L_2. Suppose that

(i) the relational structure of $N_1 =$ the relational structure of N_2, and

(ii) the mapping of $N_1 =$ the mapping of N_2.

Let S_1 be a subnet of N_1. Then there exists a strict subnet S_2 of N_2 such that

(iii) the relational structure of $S_1 =$ the relational structure of S_2, and

(iv) the mapping of $S_1 =$ the mapping of S_2.

(6) Let L_1, L_2 be inf-complete up-complete semilattices. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2. Let N_1 be a net structure over L_1 and a be a set. Suppose $\langle N_1, a\rangle \in$ the lim inf convergence of L_1. Then there exists a strict net N_2 in L_2 such that

(i) $\langle N_2, a\rangle \in$ the lim inf convergence of L_2,

(ii) the relational structure of $N_1 =$ the relational structure of N_2, and

(iii) the mapping of $N_1 =$ the mapping of N_2.

(7) Let L_1, L_2 be non empty 1-sorted structures, N_1 be a non empty net structure over L_1, and N_2 be a non empty net structure over L_2. Suppose that

(i) the relational structure of $N_1 =$ the relational structure of N_2, and

(ii) the mapping of $N_1 =$ the mapping of N_2.

Let X be a set. If N_1 is eventually in X, then N_2 is eventually in X.

(8) Let L_1, L_2 be inf-complete up-complete semilattices. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2. Then ConvergenceSpace(the lim inf convergence of L_1) $=$ ConvergenceSpace(the lim inf convergence of L_2).

(9) Let L_1, L_2 be inf-complete up-complete semilattices. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2. Then $\xi(L_1) = \xi(L_2)$.

Let R be an inf-complete non empty reflexive relational structure. Observe that every topological augmentation of R is inf-complete.

Let R be a semilattice. Note that every topological augmentation of R has g.l.b.'s.

Let L be an inf-complete up-complete semilattice. One can verify that there exists a topological augmentation of L which is strict and lim-inf.

The following proposition is true

(10) Let L be an inf-complete up-complete semilattice and X be a lim-inf topological augmentation of L. Then $\xi(L) =$ the topology of X.

Let L be an inf-complete up-complete semilattice. The functor $\Xi(L)$ yields a strict topological augmentation of L and is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) $\Xi(L)$ is lim-inf.

Let L be an inf-complete up-complete semilattice. Note that $\Xi(L)$ is lim-inf.

We now state a number of propositions:

(11) For every complete lattice L and for every net N in L holds $\liminf N = \bigsqcup_L\{\inf(N\restriction i) : i$ ranges over elements of $N\}$.

(12) Let L be a complete lattice, F be a proper filter of $2^{\Omega_L}_{\subseteq}$, and f be a subset of L. Suppose $f \in F$. Let i be an element of the net of F. If $i_2 = f$, then $\inf f = \inf((\text{the net of } F){\restriction}i)$.

(13) For every complete lattice L and for every proper filter F of $2^{\Omega_L}_{\subseteq}$ holds $\liminf F = \liminf(\text{the net of } F)$.

(14) For every complete lattice L and for every proper filter F of $2^{\Omega_L}_{\subseteq}$ holds the net of $F \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$.

(15) Let L be a complete lattice, F be an ultra filter of $2^{\Omega_L}_{\subseteq}$, and p be a greater or equal to id map from the net of F into the net of F. Then $\liminf F \geq \inf((\text{the net of } F) \cdot p)$.

(16) Let L be a complete lattice, F be an ultra filter of $2^{\Omega_L}_{\subseteq}$, and M be a subnet of the net of F. Then $\liminf F = \liminf M$.

(17) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a net in L, and A be a set. Suppose N is often in A. Then there exists a strict subnet N' of N such that $\text{rng}(\text{the mapping of } N') \subseteq A$ and N' is a structure of a subnet of N.

(18) Let L be a complete lim-inf top-lattice and A be a non empty subset of L. Then A is closed if and only if for every ultra filter F of $2^{\Omega_L}_{\subseteq}$ such that $A \in F$ holds $\liminf F \in A$.

(19) For every non empty reflexive relational structure L holds $\sigma(L) \subseteq \xi(L)$.

(20) Let T_1, T_2 be non empty topological spaces and B be a prebasis of T_1. Suppose $B \subseteq$ the topology of T_2 and the carrier of $T_1 \in$ the topology of T_2. Then the topology of $T_1 \subseteq$ the topology of T_2.

(21) For every complete lattice L holds $\omega(L) \subseteq \xi(L)$.

(22) Let T_1, T_2 be topological spaces and T be a non empty topological space. Suppose T is a topological extension of T_1 and a topological extension of T_2. Let R be a refinement of T_1 and T_2. Then T is a topological extension of R.

(23) Let T_1 be a topological space, T_2 be a topological extension of T_1, and A be a subset of T_1. Then

(i) if A is open, then A is an open subset of T_2, and

(ii) if A is closed, then A is a closed subset of T_2.

(24) For every complete lattice L holds $\lambda(L) \subseteq \xi(L)$.

(25) Let L be a complete lattice, T be a lim-inf topological augmentation of L, and S be a Lawson correct topological augmentation of L. Then T is a topological extension of S.

(26) For every complete lim-inf top-lattice L and for every ultra filter F of $2^{\Omega_L}_{\subseteq}$ holds $\liminf F$ is a convergence point of F, L.

(27) Every complete lim-inf top-lattice is compact and T_1.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.

[4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Prime ideals and filters. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_7.html.

[5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bases and refinements of topologies. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow_9.html.

[6] Grzegorz Bancerek. The Lawson topology. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/waybel19.html.

[7] Grzegorz Bancerek, Noboru Endou, and Yuji Sakai. On the characterizations of compactness. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 13, 2001. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol13/yellow19.html.

[8] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. Dyadic numbers and T_4 topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/urysohn1.html.

[9] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.

[10] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.

[11] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

[12] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/compts_1.html.

[13] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html.

[14] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.

[15] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_1.html.

[16] Zbigniew Karno. Maximal discrete subspaces of almost discrete topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/tex_2.html.

[17] Artur Korniłowicz. On the topological properties of meet-continuous lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_9.html.

[18] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html.

[19] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.

[20] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/cantor_1.html.

[21] Bartłomiej Skorulski. Lim-inf convergence. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 12, 2000. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol12/waybel28.html.

[22] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.

[23] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart_1.html.

[24] Andrzej Trybulec. Moore-Smith convergence. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_6.html.

[25] Andrzej Trybulec. Scott topology. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/waybel11.html.

[26] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html.

[27] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

[28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

[29] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.

[30] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_2.html.

Received July 29, 2001

Published January 2, 2004