

# Interpretation and Satisfiability in the First Order Logic

Edmund Woronowicz<sup>1</sup>  
Warsaw University  
Białystok

**Summary.** The main notion discussed is satisfiability. Interpretation and some auxiliary concepts are also introduced.

MML Identifier: VALUAT\_1.

The articles [6], [3], [1], [5], [4], [2], and [7] provide the notation and terminology for this paper. In the sequel  $i, k$  are natural numbers and  $A, D$  are non-empty sets. Let us consider  $A$ . The functor  $\mathbf{V}(A)$  yields a non-empty set of functions and is defined by:

$$\mathbf{V}(A) = A^{\text{BoundVar}}.$$

The following propositions are true:

- (1)  $\mathbf{V}(A) = A^{\text{BoundVar}}$ .
- (2) For an arbitrary  $x$  such that  $x$  is an element of  $\mathbf{V}(A)$  holds  $x$  is a function from BoundVar into  $A$ .

Let us consider  $A$ . Then  $\mathbf{V}(A)$  is a non-empty set of functions from BoundVar to  $A$ .

In the sequel  $x, y$  will be bound variables and  $v, v_1$  will be elements of  $\mathbf{V}(A)$ . Let us consider  $A, v, x$ . Then  $v(x)$  is an element of  $A$ .

We now define two new functors. Let us consider  $A$ , and let  $p$  be an element of  $\text{Boolean}^A$ . The functor  $\neg p$  yields an element of  $\text{Boolean}^A$  and is defined by:  
for every element  $x$  of  $A$  holds  $(\neg p)(x) = \neg(p(x))$ .

Let  $q$  be an element of  $\text{Boolean}^A$ . The functor  $p \wedge q$  yielding an element of  $\text{Boolean}^A$  is defined as follows:

$$\text{for every element } x \text{ of } A \text{ holds } (p \wedge q)(x) = (p(x)) \wedge (q(x)).$$

We now state two propositions:

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by RPBP III.24 C1

- (4)<sup>2</sup> For every element  $p$  of  $Boolean^A$  and for every element  $x$  of  $A$  holds  $\neg p(x) = \neg(p(x))$ .
- (5) For all elements  $p, q$  of  $Boolean^A$  and for every element  $x$  of  $A$  holds  $p \wedge q(x) = (p(x)) \wedge (q(x))$ .

Let us consider  $A$ , and let  $f$  be an element of  $Boolean^{\mathbf{V}(A)}$ , and let us consider  $v$ . Then  $f(v)$  is an element of  $Boolean$ .

Let us consider  $A, x$ , and let  $p$  be an element of  $Boolean^{\mathbf{V}(A)}$ . The functor  $\bigwedge_x p$  yields an element of  $Boolean^{\mathbf{V}(A)}$  and is defined as follows:

for every  $v$  holds  $(\bigwedge_x p)(v) = Boolean(\text{false} \notin \{p(v') : \bigwedge_y [x \neq y \Rightarrow v'(y) = v(y)]\})$ .

Next we state three propositions:

- (6) For all  $x, v$  and for every element  $p$  of  $Boolean^{\mathbf{V}(A)}$  holds  $(\bigwedge_x p)(v) = Boolean(\text{false} \notin \{p(v') : \bigwedge [x \neq y \Rightarrow v'(y) = v(y)]\})$ .
- (7) For every element  $p$  of  $Boolean^{\mathbf{V}(A)}$  holds  $(\bigwedge_x p)(v) = \text{false}$  if and only if there exists  $v_1$  such that  $p(v_1) = \text{false}$  and for every  $y$  such that  $x \neq y$  holds  $v_1(y) = v(y)$ .
- (8) For every element  $p$  of  $Boolean^{\mathbf{V}(A)}$  holds  $(\bigwedge_x p)(v) = \text{true}$  if and only if for every  $v_1$  such that for every  $y$  such that  $x \neq y$  holds  $v_1(y) = v(y)$  holds  $p(v_1) = \text{true}$ .

In the sequel  $ll$  is a variables list of  $k$ . Let us consider  $A, v, k, ll$ . The functor  $ll[v]$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $A$  is defined as follows:

$\text{len}(ll[v]) = k$  and for every  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq k$  holds  $(ll[v])(i) = v(ll(i))$ .

We now state the proposition

- (9) For all  $v, k, ll$  holds  $\text{len}(ll[v]) = k$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq k$  holds  $ll[v](i) = v(ll(i))$ .

Let us consider  $A, k, ll$ , and let  $r$  be an element of  $\text{Rel}(A)$ . The functor  $llr$  yields an element of  $Boolean^{\mathbf{V}(A)}$  and is defined by:

for every element  $v$  of  $\mathbf{V}(A)$  holds if  $ll[v] \in r$ , then  $(llr)(v) = \text{true}$  but if  $ll[v] \notin r$ , then  $(llr)(v) = \text{false}$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (10) For all  $k, ll, v$  and for every element  $r$  of  $\text{Rel}(A)$  holds if  $ll[v] \in r$ , then  $llr(v) = \text{true}$  but if  $ll[v] \notin r$ , then  $llr(v) = \text{false}$ .

Let us consider  $A$ , and let  $F$  be a function from  $\text{WFF}_{\text{CQC}}$  into  $Boolean^{\mathbf{V}(A)}$ , and let  $p$  be an element of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{CQC}}$ . Then  $F(p)$  is an element of  $Boolean^{\mathbf{V}(A)}$ .

Let us consider  $D$ . A function from  $\text{PredSym}$  into  $\text{Rel}(D)$  is called an interpretation of  $D$  if:

for every element  $P$  of  $\text{PredSym}$  and for every element  $r$  of  $\text{Rel}(D)$  such that  $\text{it}(P) = r$  holds  $r = \emptyset_D$  or  $\text{Arity}(P) = \text{Arity}(r)$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>The proposition (3) became obvious.

Next we state two propositions:

- (11) For every non-empty set  $D$  and for every function  $F$  from  $\text{PredSym}$  into  $\text{Rel}(D)$  such that for every element  $P$  of  $\text{PredSym}$  and for every element  $r$  of  $\text{Rel}(D)$  such that  $F(P) = r$  holds  $r = \emptyset_D$  or  $\text{Arity}(P) = \text{Arity}(r)$  holds  $F$  is an interpretation of  $D$ .
- (12) For every  $D$  and for every interpretation  $J$  of  $D$  and for every element  $P$  of  $\text{PredSym}$  and for every element  $r$  of  $\text{Rel}(D)$  such that  $J(P) = r$  holds  $r = \emptyset_D$  or  $\text{Arity}(P) = \text{Arity}(r)$ .

Let us consider  $A$ , and let  $J$  be an interpretation of  $A$ , and let  $p$  be an element of  $\text{PredSym}$ . Then  $J(p)$  is a set.

For simplicity we adopt the following rules:  $p, q, t$  will be elements of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{CQC}}$ ,  $J$  will be an interpretation of  $A$ ,  $P$  will be a  $k$ -ary predicate symbol, and  $r$  will be an element of  $\text{Rel}(A)$ . Let us consider  $A, k, J, P$ . Then  $J(P)$  is an element of  $\text{Rel}(A)$ .

Let us consider  $A, J, p$ . The functor  $\text{Valid}(p, J)$  yielding an element of  $\text{Boolean } \mathbf{V}^{(A)}$  is defined by:

there exists a function  $F$  from  $\text{WFF}_{\text{CQC}}$  into  $\text{Boolean } \mathbf{V}^{(A)}$  such that  $\text{Valid}(p, J) = F(p)$  and for all elements  $p, q$  of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{CQC}}$  and for every bound variable  $x$  and for every natural number  $k$  and for every variables list  $ll$  of  $k$  and for every  $k$ -ary predicate symbol  $P$  and for all elements  $p', q'$  of  $\text{Boolean } \mathbf{V}^{(A)}$  such that  $p' = F(p)$  and  $q' = F(q)$  holds

$$F(\text{VERUM}) = \mathbf{V}(A) \mapsto \text{true}$$

and  $F(P[ll]) = ll\epsilon(J(P))$  and  $F(\neg p) = \neg p'$  and  $F(p \wedge q) = p' \wedge q'$  and  $F(\forall_x p) = \bigwedge_x p'$ .

We now state a number of propositions:

- (13)  $\text{Valid}(\text{VERUM}, J) = \mathbf{V}(A) \mapsto \text{true}$ .
- (14)  $\text{Valid}(\text{VERUM}, J)(v) = \text{true}$ .
- (15)  $\text{Valid}(P[ll], J) = ll\epsilon(J(P))$ .
- (16) If  $p = P[ll]$  and  $r = J(P)$ , then  $ll[v] \in r$  if and only if  $\text{Valid}(p, J)(v) = \text{true}$ .
- (17) If  $p = P[ll]$  and  $r = J(P)$ , then  $ll[v] \notin r$  if and only if  $\text{Valid}(p, J)(v) = \text{false}$ .
- (18) If  $p = P[ll]$  and  $r = J(P)$ , then  $ll[v] \notin r$  if and only if  $\text{Valid}(p, J)(v) = \text{false}$ .
- (19)  $\text{Valid}(\neg p, J) = \neg \text{Valid}(p, J)$ .
- (20)  $\text{Valid}(\neg p, J)(v) = \neg(\text{Valid}(p, J)(v))$ .
- (21)  $\text{Valid}(p \wedge q, J) = \text{Valid}(p, J) \wedge \text{Valid}(q, J)$ .
- (22)  $\text{Valid}(p \wedge q, J)(v) = (\text{Valid}(p, J)(v)) \wedge (\text{Valid}(q, J)(v))$ .
- (23)  $\text{Valid}(\forall_x p, J) = \bigwedge_x \text{Valid}(p, J)$ .
- (24)  $\text{Valid}(p \wedge \neg p, J)(v) = \text{false}$ .
- (25)  $\text{Valid}(\neg(p \wedge \neg p), J)(v) = \text{true}$ .

Let us consider  $A, p, J, v$ . The predicate  $J, v \models p$  is defined by:  
 $\text{Valid}(p, J)(v) = \text{true}$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (26)  $J, v \models p$  if and only if  $\text{Valid}(p, J)(v) = \text{true}$ .
- (27)  $J, v \models P[l]$  if and only if  $\text{ll}\epsilon(J(P))(v) = \text{true}$ .
- (28)  $J, v \models \neg p$  if and only if  $J, v \not\models p$ .
- (29)  $J, v \models p \wedge q$  if and only if  $J, v \models p$  and  $J, v \models q$ .
- (30)  $J, v \models \forall_x p$  if and only if  $(\bigwedge_x \text{Valid}(p, J))(v) = \text{true}$ .
- (31)  $J, v \models \forall_x p$  if and only if for every  $v_1$  such that for every  $y$  such that  $x \neq y$  holds  $v_1(y) = v(y)$  holds  $\text{Valid}(p, J)(v_1) = \text{true}$ .
- (32)  $\text{Valid}(\neg(\neg p), J) = \text{Valid}(p, J)$ .
- (33)  $\text{Valid}(p \wedge p, J) = \text{Valid}(p, J)$ .
- (34)  $\text{Valid}(p \wedge p, J)(v) = \text{Valid}(p, J)(v)$ .
- (35)  $J, v \models p \Rightarrow q$  if and only if  $\text{Valid}(p, J)(v) = \text{false}$  or  $\text{Valid}(q, J)(v) = \text{true}$ .
- (36)  $J, v \models p \Rightarrow q$  if and only if if  $J, v \models p$ , then  $J, v \models q$ .
- (37) For every element  $p$  of *Boolean*  $\mathbf{V}^{(A)}$  such that  $(\bigwedge_x p)(v) = \text{true}$  holds  $p(v) = \text{true}$ .

Let us consider  $A, J, p$ . The predicate  $J \models p$  is defined by:  
 for every  $v$  holds  $J, v \models p$ .

One can prove the following proposition

- (38)  $J \models p$  if and only if for every  $v$  holds  $J, v \models p$ .

In the sequel  $w$  denotes an element of  $\mathbf{V}(A)$ . The scheme *Lambda\_Val* deals with a non-empty set  $\mathcal{A}$ , a bound variable  $\mathcal{B}$ , a bound variable  $\mathcal{C}$ , an element  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$ , and an element  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$  and states that:

there exists an element  $v$  of  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$  such that for every bound variable  $x$  such that  $x \neq \mathcal{B}$  holds  $v(x) = \mathcal{D}(x)$  and  $v(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C})$   
 for all values of the parameters.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (39) If  $x \notin \text{snb}(p)$ , then for all  $v, w$  such that for every  $y$  such that  $x \neq y$  holds  $w(y) = v(y)$  holds  $\text{Valid}(p, J)(v) = \text{Valid}(p, J)(w)$ .
- (40) If  $J, v \models p$  and  $x \notin \text{snb}(p)$ , then for every  $w$  such that for every  $y$  such that  $x \neq y$  holds  $w(y) = v(y)$  holds  $J, w \models p$ .
- (41)  $J, v \models \forall_x p$  if and only if for every  $w$  such that for every  $y$  such that  $x \neq y$  holds  $w(y) = v(y)$  holds  $J, w \models p$ .

In the sequel  $s'$  will be a formula. We now state a number of propositions:

- (42) If  $x \neq y$  and  $p = s'(x)$  and  $q = s'(y)$ , then for every  $v$  such that  $v(x) = v(y)$  holds  $\text{Valid}(p, J)(v) = \text{Valid}(q, J)(v)$ .
- (43) If  $x \neq y$  and  $x \notin \text{snb}(s')$ , then  $x \notin \text{snb}(s'(y))$ .
- (44)  $J, v \models \text{VERUM}$ .
- (45)  $J, v \models p \wedge q \Rightarrow q \wedge p$ .

- (46)  $J, v \models (\neg p \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow p$ .  
 (47)  $J, v \models p \Rightarrow (\neg p \Rightarrow q)$ .  
 (48)  $J, v \models (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (\neg(q \wedge t) \Rightarrow \neg(p \wedge t))$ .  
 (49) If  $J, v \models p$  and  $J, v \models p \Rightarrow q$ , then  $J, v \models q$ .  
 (50)  $J, v \models (\forall x p) \Rightarrow p$ .  
 (51)  $J \models \text{VERUM}$ .  
 (52)  $J \models p \wedge q \Rightarrow q \wedge p$ .  
 (53)  $J \models (\neg p \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow p$ .  
 (54)  $J \models p \Rightarrow (\neg p \Rightarrow q)$ .  
 (55)  $J \models (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (\neg(q \wedge t) \Rightarrow \neg(p \wedge t))$ .  
 (56) If  $J \models p$  and  $J \models p \Rightarrow q$ , then  $J \models q$ .  
 (57)  $J \models (\forall x p) \Rightarrow p$ .  
 (58) If  $J \models p \Rightarrow q$  and  $x \notin \text{snb}(p)$ , then  $J \models p \Rightarrow (\forall x q)$ .  
 (59) For every formula  $s$  such that  $p = s(x)$  and  $q = s(y)$  and  $x \notin \text{snb}(s)$  and  $J \models p$  holds  $J \models q$ .

## References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [4] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. A first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):303–311, 1990.
- [5] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and frænkel operator. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):495–500, 1990.
- [6] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [7] Edmund Woronowicz. Many–argument relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):733–737, 1990.

Received June 1, 1990

---