

# Propositional Calculus for Boolean Valued Functions. Part VII

Shunichi Kobayashi  
Matsumoto University  
Nagano

**Summary.** In this paper, we proved some elementary propositional calculus formulae for Boolean valued functions.

MML Identifier: BVFUNC25.

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [4], [3], [2], and [1].

We use the following convention:  $Y$  is a non empty set and  $a, b, c, d$  are elements of  $\text{Boolean}^Y$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (1)  $\neg(a \Rightarrow b) = a \wedge \neg b$ .
- (2)  $\neg b \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y)$ .
- (3)  $a \Rightarrow b = \neg b \Rightarrow \neg a$ .
- (4)  $a \Leftrightarrow b = \neg a \Leftrightarrow \neg b$ .
- (5)  $a \Rightarrow b = a \Rightarrow a \wedge b$ .
- (6)  $a \Leftrightarrow b = a \vee b \Rightarrow a \wedge b$ .
- (7)  $a \Leftrightarrow \neg a = \text{false}(Y)$ .
- (8)  $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c = b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c$ .
- (9)  $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c$ .
- (10)  $a \Leftrightarrow b = a \oplus \neg b$ .
- (11)  $a \wedge (b \oplus c) = a \wedge b \oplus a \wedge c$ .
- (12)  $a \Leftrightarrow b = \neg(a \oplus b)$ .
- (13)  $a \oplus a = \text{false}(Y)$ .
- (14)  $a \oplus \neg a = \text{true}(Y)$ .

- (15)  $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a = b \Rightarrow a.$
- (16)  $(a \vee b) \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg b) = \neg a \wedge b \vee a \wedge \neg b.$
- (17)  $a \wedge b \vee \neg a \wedge \neg b = (\neg a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee \neg b).$
- (18)  $a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c.$
- (19)  $a \Leftrightarrow b \Leftrightarrow c = a \Leftrightarrow b \Leftrightarrow c.$
- (20)  $\neg \neg a \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (21)  $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge a \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y).$
- (22)  $a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (23)  $\neg a \Rightarrow a \Leftrightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (24)  $a \vee (a \Rightarrow b) = \text{true}(Y).$
- (25)  $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (c \Rightarrow a) = \text{true}(Y).$
- (26)  $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (\neg a \Rightarrow b) = \text{true}(Y).$
- (27)  $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (a \Rightarrow \neg b) = \text{true}(Y).$
- (28)  $\neg a \Rightarrow \neg b \Leftrightarrow b \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (29)  $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y).$
- (30)  $a \Rightarrow b = a \Leftrightarrow a \wedge b.$
- (31)  $a \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y) \text{ and } b \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y) \text{ iff } a = b.$
- (32)  $a = \neg a \Rightarrow a.$
- (33)  $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (34)  $a = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a.$
- (35)  $a = (b \Rightarrow a) \wedge (\neg b \Rightarrow a).$
- (36)  $a \wedge b = \neg(a \Rightarrow \neg b).$
- (37)  $a \vee b = \neg a \Rightarrow b.$
- (38)  $a \vee b = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b.$
- (39)  $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (40)  $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow d \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow d \Rightarrow c = \text{true}(Y).$
- (41)  $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge a \wedge c \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y).$
- (42)  $b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = \text{true}(Y).$
- (43)  $a \wedge b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow a \wedge b \Rightarrow c \wedge b = \text{true}(Y).$
- (44)  $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \wedge c \Rightarrow b \wedge c = \text{true}(Y).$
- (45)  $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge (a \wedge c) \Rightarrow b \wedge c = \text{true}(Y).$
- (46)  $a \wedge (a \Rightarrow b) \wedge (b \Rightarrow c) \in c.$
- (47)  $(a \vee b) \wedge (a \Rightarrow c) \wedge (b \Rightarrow c) \in \neg a \Rightarrow b \vee c.$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was partially supported by the research funds of the University of Matsumoto.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Shunichi Kobayashi and Kui Jia. A theory of Boolean valued functions and partitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):249–254, 1998.
- [2] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Fränkel operator. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):495–500, 1990.
- [3] Edmund Woronowicz. Interpretation and satisfiability in the first order logic. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):739–743, 1990.
- [4] Edmund Woronowicz. Many–argument relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):733–737, 1990.

Received February 6, 2003

---