

Trees and Graph Components¹

Gilbert Lee²
University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada

Summary. In the graph framework of [11] we define connected and acyclic graphs, components of a graph, and define the notion of cut-vertex (articulation point).

MML identifier: GLIB.002, version: 7.5.01 4.39.921

The articles [15], [8], [14], [17], [12], [18], [6], [1], [16], [7], [3], [4], [5], [9], [2], [11], [10], and [13] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a finite set. Observe that 2^X is finite.

The following proposition is true

- (1) For every finite set X such that $1 < \text{card } X$ there exist sets x_1, x_2 such that $x_1 \in X$ and $x_2 \in X$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$.

2. DEFINITIONS

Let G be a graph. We say that G is connected if and only if:

- (Def. 1) For all vertices u, v of G holds there exists a walk of G which is walk from u to v .

Let G be a graph. We say that G is acyclic if and only if:

- (Def. 2) There exists no walk of G which is cycle-like.

Let G be a graph. We say that G is tree-like if and only if:

¹This work has been partially supported by NSERC, Alberta Ingenuity Fund and iCORE.

²Part of author's MSc work.

(Def. 3) G is acyclic and connected.

One can verify that every graph which is trivial is also connected.

Let us note that every graph which is trivial and loopless is also tree-like.

Let us note that every graph which is acyclic is also simple.

Let us observe that every graph which is tree-like is also acyclic and connected.

Let us observe that every graph which is acyclic and connected is also tree-like.

Let G be a graph and let v be a vertex of G . Observe that every subgraph of G induced by $\{v\}$ and \emptyset is tree-like.

Let G be a graph and let v be a set. We say that G is dtree rooted at v if and only if:

(Def. 4) G is tree-like and for every vertex x of G holds there exists a dwalk of G which is walk from v to x .

Let us observe that there exists a graph which is trivial, finite, and tree-like and there exists a graph which is non trivial, finite, and tree-like.

Let G be a graph. Note that there exists a subgraph of G which is trivial, finite, and tree-like.

Let G be an acyclic graph. Observe that every subgraph of G is acyclic.

Let G be a graph and let v be a vertex of G . The functor $G.\text{reachableFrom}(v)$ yields a non empty subset of the vertices of G and is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) For every set x holds $x \in G.\text{reachableFrom}(v)$ iff there exists a walk of G which is walk from v to x .

Let G be a graph and let v be a vertex of G . The functor $G.\text{reachableDFrom}(v)$ yielding a non empty subset of the vertices of G is defined by:

(Def. 6) For every set x holds $x \in G.\text{reachableDFrom}(v)$ iff there exists a dwalk of G which is walk from v to x .

Let G_1 be a graph and let G_2 be a subgraph of G_1 . We say that G_2 is component-like if and only if:

(Def. 7) G_2 is connected and it is not true that there exists a connected subgraph G_3 of G_1 such that $G_2 \subset G_3$.

Let G be a graph. Note that every subgraph of G which is component-like is also connected.

Let G be a graph and let v be a vertex of G . Note that every subgraph of G induced by $G.\text{reachableFrom}(v)$ is component-like.

Let G be a graph. Observe that there exists a subgraph of G which is component-like.

Let G be a graph. A component of G is a component-like subgraph of G .

Let G be a graph. The functor $G.\text{componentSet}()$ yielding a non empty family of subsets of the vertices of G is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) For every set x holds $x \in G.\text{componentSet}()$ iff there exists a vertex v of G such that $x = G.\text{reachableFrom}(v)$.

Let G be a graph and let X be an element of $G.\text{componentSet}()$. Observe that every subgraph of G induced by X is component-like.

Let G be a graph. The functor $G.\text{numComponents}()$ yielding a cardinal number is defined by:

(Def. 9) $G.\text{numComponents}() = \overline{\overline{G.\text{componentSet}()}}$.

Let G be a finite graph. Then $G.\text{numComponents}()$ is a non empty natural number.

Let G be a graph and let v be a vertex of G . We say that v is cut-vertex if and only if:

(Def. 10) For every subgraph G_2 of G with vertex v removed holds $G.\text{numComponents}() < G_2.\text{numComponents}()$.

Let G be a finite graph and let v be a vertex of G . Let us observe that v is cut-vertex if and only if:

(Def. 11) For every subgraph G_2 of G with vertex v removed holds $G.\text{numComponents}() < G_2.\text{numComponents}()$.

Let G be a non trivial finite connected graph. Observe that there exists a vertex of G which is non cut-vertex.

Let G be a non trivial finite tree-like graph. One can check that there exists a vertex of G which is endvertex.

Let G be a non trivial finite tree-like graph and let v be an endvertex vertex of G . Observe that every subgraph of G with vertex v removed is tree-like.

Let G_4 be a graph sequence. We say that G_4 is connected if and only if:

(Def. 12) For every natural number n holds $G_{4 \rightarrow n}$ is connected.

We say that G_4 is acyclic if and only if:

(Def. 13) For every natural number n holds $G_{4 \rightarrow n}$ is acyclic.

We say that G_4 is tree-like if and only if:

(Def. 14) For every natural number n holds $G_{4 \rightarrow n}$ is tree-like.

One can check the following observations:

- * every graph sequence which is trivial is also connected,
- * every graph sequence which is trivial and loopless is also tree-like,
- * every graph sequence which is acyclic is also simple,
- * every graph sequence which is tree-like is also acyclic and connected, and
- * every graph sequence which is acyclic and connected is also tree-like.

Let us note that there exists a graph sequence which is halting, finite, and tree-like.

Let G_4 be a connected graph sequence and let n be a natural number. Note that $G_{4 \rightarrow n}$ is connected.

Let G_4 be an acyclic graph sequence and let n be a natural number. Observe that $G_{4 \rightarrow n}$ is acyclic.

Let G_4 be a tree-like graph sequence and let n be a natural number. Note that $G_{4 \rightarrow n}$ is tree-like.

3. THEOREMS

For simplicity, we use the following convention: G, G_1, G_2 are graphs, e, x, y are sets, v, v_1, v_2 are vertices of G , and W is a walk of G .

We now state a number of propositions:

- (2) For every non trivial connected graph G and for every vertex v of G holds v is not isolated.
- (3) Let G_1 be a non trivial graph, v be a vertex of G_1 , and G_2 be a subgraph of G_1 with vertex v removed. Suppose G_2 is connected and there exists a set e such that $e \in v.\text{edgesInOut}()$ and e does not join v and v in G_1 . Then G_1 is connected.
- (4) Let G_1 be a non trivial connected graph, v be a vertex of G_1 , and G_2 be a subgraph of G_1 with vertex v removed. If v is endvertex, then G_2 is connected.
- (5) Let G_1 be a connected graph, W be a walk of G_1 , e be a set, and G_2 be a subgraph of G_1 with edge e removed. If W is cycle-like and $e \in W.\text{edges}()$, then G_2 is connected.
- (6) If there exists a vertex v_1 of G such that for every vertex v_2 of G holds there exists a walk of G which is walk from v_1 to v_2 , then G is connected.
- (7) Every trivial graph is connected.
- (8) If $G_1 =_G G_2$ and G_1 is connected, then G_2 is connected.
- (9) $v \in G.\text{reachableFrom}(v)$.
- (10) If $x \in G.\text{reachableFrom}(v_1)$ and e joins x and y in G , then $y \in G.\text{reachableFrom}(v_1)$.
- (11) $G.\text{edgesBetween}(G.\text{reachableFrom}(v)) = G.\text{edgesInOut}(G.\text{reachableFrom}(v))$.
- (12) If $v_1 \in G.\text{reachableFrom}(v_2)$, then $G.\text{reachableFrom}(v_1) = G.\text{reachableFrom}(v_2)$.
- (13) If $v \in W.\text{vertices}()$, then $W.\text{vertices}() \subseteq G.\text{reachableFrom}(v)$.
- (14) Let G_1 be a graph, G_2 be a subgraph of G_1 , v_1 be a vertex of G_1 , and v_2 be a vertex of G_2 . If $v_1 = v_2$, then $G_2.\text{reachableFrom}(v_2) \subseteq G_1.\text{reachableFrom}(v_1)$.
- (15) If there exists a vertex v of G such that $G.\text{reachableFrom}(v) =$ the vertices of G , then G is connected.

- (16) If G is connected, then for every vertex v of G holds $G.\text{reachableFrom}(v) =$ the vertices of G .
- (17) For every vertex v_1 of G_1 and for every vertex v_2 of G_2 such that $G_1 =_G G_2$ and $v_1 = v_2$ holds $G_1.\text{reachableFrom}(v_1) = G_2.\text{reachableFrom}(v_2)$.
- (18) $v \in G.\text{reachableDFrom}(v)$.
- (19) If $x \in G.\text{reachableDFrom}(v_1)$ and e joins x to y in G , then $y \in G.\text{reachableDFrom}(v_1)$.
- (20) $G.\text{reachableDFrom}(v) \subseteq G.\text{reachableFrom}(v)$.
- (21) Let G_1 be a graph, G_2 be a subgraph of G_1 , v_1 be a vertex of G_1 , and v_2 be a vertex of G_2 . If $v_1 = v_2$, then $G_2.\text{reachableDFrom}(v_2) \subseteq G_1.\text{reachableDFrom}(v_1)$.
- (22) For every vertex v_1 of G_1 and for every vertex v_2 of G_2 such that $G_1 =_G G_2$ and $v_1 = v_2$ holds $G_1.\text{reachableDFrom}(v_1) = G_2.\text{reachableDFrom}(v_2)$.
- (23) For every graph G_1 and for every connected subgraph G_2 of G_1 such that G_2 is spanning holds G_1 is connected.
- (24) $\bigcup(G.\text{componentSet}()) =$ the vertices of G .
- (25) G is connected iff $G.\text{componentSet}() = \{\text{the vertices of } G\}$.
- (26) If $G_1 =_G G_2$, then $G_1.\text{componentSet}() = G_2.\text{componentSet}()$.
- (27) If $x \in G.\text{componentSet}()$, then x is a non empty subset of the vertices of G .
- (28) G is connected iff $G.\text{numComponents}() = 1$.
- (29) If $G_1 =_G G_2$, then $G_1.\text{numComponents}() = G_2.\text{numComponents}()$.
- (30) G is a component of G iff G is connected.
- (31) For every component C of G holds the edges of $C = G.\text{edgesBetween}(\text{the vertices of } C)$.
- (32) For all components C_1, C_2 of G holds the vertices of $C_1 =$ the vertices of C_2 iff $C_1 =_G C_2$.
- (33) Let C be a component of G and v be a vertex of G . Then $v \in$ the vertices of C if and only if the vertices of $C = G.\text{reachableFrom}(v)$.
- (34) Let C_1, C_2 be components of G and v be a set. If $v \in$ the vertices of C_1 and $v \in$ the vertices of C_2 , then $C_1 =_G C_2$.
- (35) Let G be a connected graph and v be a vertex of G . Then v is non cut-vertex if and only if for every subgraph G_2 of G with vertex v removed holds $G_2.\text{numComponents}() \leq G.\text{numComponents}()$.
- (36) Let G be a connected graph, v be a vertex of G , and G_2 be a subgraph of G with vertex v removed. If v is not cut-vertex, then G_2 is connected.
- (37) Let G be a non trivial finite connected graph. Then there exist vertices v_1, v_2 of G such that $v_1 \neq v_2$ and v_1 is not cut-vertex and v_2 is not cut-vertex.

- (38) If v is cut-vertex, then G is non trivial.
- (39) Let v_1 be a vertex of G_1 and v_2 be a vertex of G_2 . If $G_1 =_G G_2$ and $v_1 = v_2$, then if v_1 is cut-vertex, then v_2 is cut-vertex.
- (40) For every finite connected graph G holds $G.order() \leq G.size() + 1$.
- (41) Every acyclic graph is simple.
- (42) Let G be an acyclic graph, W be a path of G , and e be a set. If $e \notin W.edges()$ and $e \in W.last().edgesInOut()$, then $W.addEdge(e)$ is path-like.
- (43) Let G be a non trivial finite acyclic graph. Suppose the edges of $G \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist vertices v_1, v_2 of G such that $v_1 \neq v_2$ and v_1 is endvertex and v_2 is endvertex and $v_2 \in G.reachableFrom(v_1)$.
- (44) If $G_1 =_G G_2$ and G_1 is acyclic, then G_2 is acyclic.
- (45) Let G be a non trivial finite tree-like graph. Then there exist vertices v_1, v_2 of G such that $v_1 \neq v_2$ and v_1 is endvertex and v_2 is endvertex.
- (46) For every finite graph G holds G is tree-like iff G is acyclic and $G.order() = G.size() + 1$.
- (47) For every finite graph G holds G is tree-like iff G is connected and $G.order() = G.size() + 1$.
- (48) If $G_1 =_G G_2$ and G_1 is tree-like, then G_2 is tree-like.
- (49) If G is dtree rooted at x , then x is a vertex of G .
- (50) If $G_1 =_G G_2$ and G_1 is dtree rooted at x , then G_2 is dtree rooted at x .

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):281–290, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [10] Gilbert Lee. Walks in Graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):253–269, 2005.
- [11] Gilbert Lee and Piotr Rudnicki. Alternative graph structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):235–252, 2005.
- [12] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [13] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Abian’s fixed point theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):335–338, 1997.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of complex numbers. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics*.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.

- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [17] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [18] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received February 0, 2005
